THE COURTS

Title 201—RULES OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

[201 PA. CODE CH. 3]

Amendment of Rule 301(b); No. 187; Judicial Administration Doc. No. 1

Order

Per Curiam:

And Now, this 24th day of September, 1997, Rule 301(b) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Judicial Administration is amended to read as follows.

To the extent that notice of proposed rulemaking would be required by Rule 103 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Judicial Administration or otherwise, the immediate amendment of Rule 301(b) is hereby found to be required in the interest of justice and efficient administration.

This Order shall be effective immediately and shall be processed in accordance with Rule 103(b) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Judicial Administration.

Annex A

TITLE 201. RULES OF JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

CHAPTER 3. JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF PENNSYLVANIA

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF PENNSYLVANIA Rule 301. Judicial Council of Pennsylvania.

(b) *Composition.* The Judicial Council shall consist of **[25] 27** members as follows:

(17) One member of the Senate of Pennsylvania, appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate.

(18) One member of the House of Representatives, appointed by the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 97-1613. Filed for public inspection October 10, 1997, 9:00 a.m.]

Title 231—RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

PART I. GENERAL [231 PA. CODE CH. 200]

Amendment of the Rules Governing Post-Trial Practice; No. 287; Doc. No. 5

Order

Per Curiam:

And Now, this 24th day of September, 1997, the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure are amended as follows:

- 1. Rule 238(c) is amended to read as follows.
- 2. The Note to Rule 227.4(1)(b) is amended to read as follows.

This Order shall be processed in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. 103(b) and shall be effective January 1, 1998.

Annex A

TITLE 231. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE PART I. GENERAL

CHAPTER 200. BUSINESS OF COURTS

Rule 227.4. Entry of Judgment upon Praecipe of a Party.

Official Note: If a motion for delay damages has been filed **[and is opposed]**, judgment may not be entered until that motion is decided **or otherwise resolved.** See Rule 238(c)(3)(i).

Rule 238. Damages for Delay in an Action for Bodily Injury, Death or Property Damage.

(c) Not later than ten days after the verdict or notice of the decision, the plaintiff may file a written motion requesting damages for delay and setting forth the computation. The motion shall begin with the following notice:

NOTICE

You are hereby notified to file a written answer to the attached motion for delay damages within twenty days from the filing of the motion or the delay damages sought in the motion may be added to the verdict or decision against you.

(1) Within **[ten] twenty** days after the motion is filed, the defendant may answer specifying the grounds for opposing the plaintiff's motion. The averments of the answer shall be deemed denied. If an issue of fact is raised, the court may, in its discretion, hold a hearing before entering an appropriate order.

Official Note: An order of the court on the motion for delay damages shall not be subject to a motion for post-trial relief.

- (2) If the defendant does not file an answer and oppose the motion, the [court] prothonotary upon praccipe shall add the damages for delay to the verdict or decision in the amount set forth in the motion.
- (3)(i) If a motion for post-trial relief has been filed under Rule 227.1 and a motion for delay damages is **[opposed] pending**, a judgment may not be entered until **disposition has been made of** all motions filed under Rule 227.1 and this rule **[have been decided]**.
- (ii) If no motion for post-trial relief is filed within the ten-day period under Rule 227.1 but the defendant opposes the motion for delay damages, the plaintiff may enter judgment on the verdict or decision. Thereafter, upon deciding the motion for damages for delay, the court shall enter judgment for the amount of the delay damages, if any.

* * * * *

5246 THE COURTS

Explanatory Comment

A party may enter judgment upon a verdict or decision under Rule 227.4(1)(b) when a motion for post-trial relief is not decided within a 120-day period. One court of common pleas has ruled that the entry of judgment under Rule 227.4 prior to the disposition of an unopposed motion for delay damages under Rule 238 precludes the award of such damages. This is a result that was not intended.

The following amendments remedy this problem. First, Rule 238(c) is revised by prescribing a form of notice to begin the motion for delay damages, advising the defendant to file an answer within twenty days or the damages for delay may be added to the verdict or decision. Second, Rule 238(c)(1) is amended to enlarge from ten days to twenty days the time in which to answer a motion for delay damages. Third, Rule 238(c)(2) provides that if the motion is not opposed by filing an answer, the prothonotary upon praecipe will add the delay damages as set forth in the motion. Finally, Rule 238(c)(3)(i) prohibits the entry of judgment until there has been disposition of a pending motion for delay damages by order of court, by praecipe pursuant to Rule 238(c)(2) or by other resolution.

The note to Rule 227.4(1)(b) is revised to conform to amended Rule 238(c)(3)(i).

By the Civil Procedural Rules Committee

EDWIN L. KLETT, Chairperson

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 97-1614. Filed for public inspection October 10, 1997, 9:00 a.m.]

DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT

Notice of Suspension

Notice is hereby given that Steven M. Kramer having been suspended from the practice of law in the State of New Jersey for a period of six months, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania issued an Order dated September 23, 1997, suspending Steven M. Kramer from the Bar of this Commonwealth for a period of six months.

ELAINE M. BIXLER,

Secretary

The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 97-1615. Filed for public inspection October 10, 1997, 9:00 a.m.]

Notice of Suspension

Notice is hereby given that Steven Spiegelman having been suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of one year, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania issued an Order dated September 23, 1997, suspending Steven Spiegelman from the Bar of this Commonwealth for a period of one year.

ELAINE M. BIXLER,

Secretary

The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

 $[Pa.B.\ Doc.\ No.\ 97\text{-}1616.\ Filed\ for\ public\ inspection\ October\ 10,\ 1997,\ 9:00\ a.m.]$

Notice of Suspension

Notice is hereby given that on September 23, 1997, pursuant to Rule 214(d)(l) of the Pa.R.D.E., John W. Morris has been placed on temporary suspension by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania until further Order of the Court.

ELAINE M. BIXLER, Secretary The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 97-1617. Filed for public inspection October 10, 1997, 9:00 a.m.]