PROPOSED RULEMAKING

PUBLIC SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT BOARD

[22 PA. CODE CH. 213] Contributions and Benefits

The Public School Employees' Retirement Board (Board) proposes to amend Chapter 213 (relating to contributions and benefits). The amendments are being proposed to delete the restrictions for purchasing retirement credit thereby allowing the costs of purchase to be deducted from the actuarial value of the retirement account.

This proposal is being made to conform the Board's regulations with the policy adopted by the Board at its December 2, 1998, meeting allowing actuarial debt reduction for purchases of service.

A. Effective Date

These amendments will go into effect upon publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* as a final-form rulemaking.

B. Contact Person

For further information contact Frank Ryder, Director of Government Relations, Public School Employees' Retirement System, 5 North Fifth Street, P. O. Box 125, Harrisburg, PA 17108, (717) 720-4733; or Charles K. Serine, Deputy Chief Counsel, Public School Employees' Retirement System, 5 North Fifth Street, P. O. Box 125, Harrisburg, PA 17108, (717) 720-4679. Information regarding submitting comments on this proposal appears in Section H of this Preamble.

C. Statutory Authority

This proposed rulemaking is being made under the authority of the Public School Employees' Retirement Code, 24 Pa.C.S. §§ 8502(h) and 8507(d) (code).

D. Background and Purpose

The Board is responsible for implementing the purchase of service provisions of the code, and a component of that purchase program is a method of paying for the purchase by eligible members, that is, their contributions. Although the code authorizes the contributions to be made in any manner agreed upon by the Board and member, the current regulations limit payment methods. Deletion of these provisions will restore the Board's statutory authority to authorize the actuarial debiting of the member's account as an agreed upon way to make these contributions.

The purpose of these proposed amendments is to permit the member and Board to agree upon how member contributions for the purchase of service can be made. Members would still have the option of paying by lump sum or payroll deduction but also would have the option to authorize an actuarial reduction in the value of their account to pay for the requested purchase. This would substantially reduce or eliminate out-of-pocket costs for members to avail themselves of service purchases authorized by the code. As noted, by policy of the Board, the Public School Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) currently permits the actuarial reduction of school member accounts for service purchases. These regulatory

repeals will conform the regulations to the current administrative policy. The State Employees' Retirement System is proposing a similar amendment of its regulations that will allow purchases of service to be paid by actuarial debt of State employees' accounts. This amendment will harmonize the regulations of the PSERS and State Employees Retirement Systems, especially with regard to multiple service members.

E. Benefits, Costs and Compliance

Executive Order 1996-1 requires a cost/benefit analysis of the proposed amendments.

Benefits

This proposed rulemaking would benefit school employees eligible for service purchases under the code. By reducing the potential out-of-pocket costs to members with an offsetting actuarial reduction in the value of their accounts, easier access to statutorily authorized service purchases would ensue without any loss in the fiscal integrity of the PSERS. Customer service would be improved together with a corresponding reduction in bookkeeping and recordkeeping to the extent that payroll deductions would be reduced.

Costs

There are nominal additional costs to the Common-wealth, its citizens or school employees associated with this proposal. There will be additional cost savings for both school employers and PSERS associated with this proposal.

Compliance Costs

The proposed amendments are not expected to impose additional compliance costs on school employees or employers.

F. Sunset Review

A sunset date for these regulations has not been assigned by the Board.

G. Regulatory Review

Under section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P. S. § 745.5(a)), on October 2, 2001, the Board submitted a copy of these proposed amendments to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and the Chairpersons of the House Education Committee and the Senate Finance Committee. In addition to submitting the proposed amendments, the Board has provided IRRC and the Committees with a detailed regulatory analysis form prepared by the PSERS in compliance with Executive Order 1996-1, "Regulatory Review and Promulgation." A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

Under section 5(g) of the Regulatory Review Act, if IRRC has objections to any portion of the proposed amendments, it will notify the Board within 10 days of the close of the Committees' review period. The notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met by the portion of the proposed amendments to which an objection has been made. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review by the General Assembly and the Governor of objections raised by IRRC prior to final publication of the amendments by the Board.

H. Public Comments

Written Comments. Interested persons are invited to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the

proposed amendments to the Public School Employees' Retirement System, 5 North Fifth Street, P. O. Box 125, Harrisburg, PA 17108-0125. Comments submitted by facsimile will not be accepted. Comments, suggestions or objections must be received by the Board by November 13, 2001. Interested persons may also submit a summary of their comments to the Board. The summary may not exceed 1 page in length and must be received by November 2, 2001. The one-page summary will be provided to each member of the Board in the agenda packet distributed prior to the meeting at which the final-form regulations will be considered.

Electronic Comments. Comments may also be submitted electronically to the Board at fryder@state.pa.us and must also be received by the Board by November 13, 2001. A subject heading of the proposal and a return name and address must be included in each transmission. If an acknowledgment of electronic comments is not received by the sender within 2 working days, the comments should be transmitted by mail to ensure receipt.

DALE H. EVERHART,

Secretary

Fiscal Note: 43-8. No fiscal impact; (8) recommends adoption.

Annex A

TITLE 22. EDUCATION PART XIII. PUBLIC SCHOOL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT BOARD

CHAPTER 213. CONTRIBUTIONS AND BENEFITS GENERAL PROVISIONS

 \S 213.3. Eligibility points for retention and reinstatement of service credits.

* * * * *

(c) In all instances when creditable school or nonschool service may be purchased, an active member, having elected to purchase the service, is not permitted to cancel the purchase [once payment has been made by lump sum, or once the member has agreed, in writing, to payroll deductions upon the terms and conditions as provided in this part].

CONTRIBUTIONS

§ 213.23. Member contributions for creditable school service.

* * * * *

(d) [The payment for the purchase of all credit authorized by this chapter, except that for sabbatical leave and activated military service leave, shall be payable in a lump sum within 90 days after certification of the amount due or, in the case of an active member, may be authorized through payroll deductions over a period of years not in excess of 6 years, if statutory interest at 4% annually is charged through the repayment period. The amount certified by the Board for the purchase of this credit shall be in accordance with methods approved by the actuary.

(e)] * * *

§ 213.24. Contributions for the purchase of credit for creditable school and nonschool service.

* * * * *

- (b) Contributions for purchase of nonintervening military service. The amount due for the purchase of nonintervening military service shall be calculated as follows: The average of the first 3 years' salaries subsequent to the military service, multiplied by the sum of the member's basic contribution rate and the normal contribution rate as determined by section 8328 of the Retirement Code (relating to actuarial cost method), relating to Commonwealth and district shares, and multiplied by the number of years or fractional years of military service. The amount due for the purchase of the military service, as calculated under this subsection, may be paid in a lump sum within 90 days after certification of the amount due, or in the case of an active member, may be amortized through payroll deductions over a period of years not in excess of 5 years without interest.] All amounts certified by the Board for the purchase of the service shall be in accordance with methods approved by the actuary. Nonintervening military service may not be purchased unless the active member has completed at least 3 years of subsequent credited school service as a Class T-C member.
- (c) Contributions for purchase of intervening military service. An active member eligible to purchase credit for intervening military service shall pay the amount due in accordance with the following formula: The member's basic contribution rate at the time of entry into the active military service multiplied by the member's then compensation and by years of the military service together with statutory interest during all periods of subsequent school and State service to the date of purchase, if all the amounts due shall be in accordance with methods certified and approved by the actuary. [The amounts due for the purchase of the service may be paid under one of the following methods:
- (1) Regular monthly payments submitted through the school district based on the compensation of the member which the member would have received at the time of entry into active military service without statutory interest since the payments are made on a current contributing basis.
- (2) A lump sum payment within 90 days of the date of the amount certified to be due and owing.
- (3) Through amortized salary deductions over a period not to exceed 5 years.
- (d) Contributions for purchase of other creditable nonschool service. An active member shall pay the amount due for creditable nonschool service in accordance with the following formula: The member's basic contribution rate plus the normal contribution rate, applied to the member's first year salary of credited school service subsequent to the creditable nonschool service sought to be purchased, multiplied by the number of years or fractional parts of a year of the service purchased, together with statutory interest during all subsequent years of school or State service to the date of purchase. [The active member may pay the amount due in a lump sum payment within 90 days after the date of certification by the Board of the amount due or through salary deductions amortized over a 1, 2, but not more than 3-year period, if the statutory interest is charged through the repayment period, and if the amounts due are in accordance with

methods certified and approved by the actuary.]

This formula for purchase does not apply in the case of purchase of creditable nonschool service rendered to a county board of school directors. In this event, the member shall only pay the amount due based on the member's basic contribution rate exclusive of the normal contribution rate.

§ 213.25. Incomplete payments.

* * * * *

[(c) Payments under certain conditions. If a member agrees to make payments for purchase of certain service, as provided in sections 8323 and 8324 of the Retirement Code (relating to member contributions for creditable school service; and contributions for purchase of credit for creditable nonschool service), and dies in school service, or terminates school service before any payments are made, the request for purchase of the service shall be canceled, unless payment is made in a lump sum payment, within 30 days after the event, by the member or anyone acting on his behalf.]

[(d)](c) * * *

[(e)] (d) * * *

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 01-1836. Filed for public inspection October 12, 2001, 9:00 a.m.]

STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY

[49 PA. CODE CH. 7] Examination Fees

The State Board of Cosmetology (Board) proposes to amend § 7.2 (relating to fees) to read as set forth in Annex A. The proposed rulemaking deletes references to the fees charged by testing organizations from the schedule of fees for the theory and performance examination for cosmetologists, cosmeticians, manicurists and teachers, and theory examination for managers.

A. Effective Date

This proposed rulemaking is effective on final publication in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

B. Statutory Authority

Section 12 of the Beauty Culture Law (law) (63 P. S. § 522) prescribes the time place and materials for examinations for licensure. Section 13(b) of the law (63 P. S. § 519(b)) requires the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (Bureau) to contract with a professional testing organization to prepare and administer examinations in accordance with section 812.1(a) of The Administrative Code of 1929 (71 P. S. § 279.3(a)). Under section 812.1(b) of The Administrative Code of 1929 each board has the discretionary power to charge a fee for the administration of and cost of each examination. Section 812.1(c) of The Administrative Code of 1929 defines cost as "all contractual charges relating to the preparation, administration, preparing, administering, grading and recording of the examination."

C. Background and Need for Amendment

Provision and Submission of Applications

The proposed amendment to § 7.2 reflects that the professional testing organization, not the Board, determines the fees charged for the examinations. The proposed amendment deletes references to the cost of the examinations in the Board's schedule of fees.

Elimination of References to Examination Fees

The proposed amendment to § 7.2 deletes references to the fees for the theory and performance examination for cosmetologists, cosmeticians, manicurists and teachers, and theory examination for managers. These fees are set by the administrators of the examinations, not by the Board. To avoid the necessity of amending the regulations whenever the examination administrator changes the fees, the Board proposes to delete references to the fees.

D. Description of Proposed Amendments

The proposed rulemaking deletes references to the fees for the theory and performance examination for cosmetologists, cosmeticians, manicurists and teachers, and theory examination for managers. The fees are set by the professional testing organizations.

E. Compliance with Executive Order 1996-1, Regulatory Review and Promulgation

The Board reviewed this proposed rulemaking and considered its purpose and likely impact upon the public and the regulated population under the directives of Executive Order 1996-1. The proposed rulemaking addresses a compelling public interest as described in this Preamble and otherwise complies with Executive Order 1996-1.

F. Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirements

The proposed rulemaking will have no fiscal impact on the Board or its licensees. The proposed rulemaking should have no fiscal impact on the private sector, the general public or political subdivisions. The amendment will avoid preparation of new regulations each time an examination fee is changed and should not create additional paperwork for the private sector.

G. Sunset Date

The Board monitors its regulations on a continuing basis. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

H. Regulatory Review

Under section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P. S. § 745.5(a)), on September 27, 2001, the Board submitted a copy of this proposed rulemaking to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) and the Chairpersons of the House Professional Licensure Committee and Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee for review and comment. In addition to submitting the proposed rulemaking, the Board has provided IRRC and the Committees with a copy of a detailed Regulatory Analysis Form prepared by the Board in compliance with Executive Order 1996-1, "Regulatory Review and Promulgation." A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

If IRRC has objections to any portion of the proposed rulemaking, it will notify the Board within 10 days after the expiration of the Committees' review period. The notification shall specify the regulatory review criteria which have not been met by that portion. The Regulatory Review Act specifies detailed procedures for review, prior

to final-form publication of the regulation, by the Board, the General Assembly and the Governor of objections raised.

I. Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding this proposed rulemaking to Sara Sulpizio, Administrator, State Board of Cosmetology, P. O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649, within 30 days following publication of this proposed rulemaking in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

CAROL M. THOMPSON, Chairperson

Fiscal Note: 16A-4510. No fiscal impact; (8) recommends adoption.

Annex A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS

PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Subpart A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

CHAPTER 7. STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 7.2. Fees.

[(a) The fee for the cosmetologist, cosmetician, manicurist or teacher examination is \$59. The fee
for the manager theory examination is \$31. The fee for each portion of an examination is:
Theory examination \$31
Performance examination (not applicable to managers) \$28
(b) Effective September 1, 1999, the fee for the complete cosmetologist, cosmetician, manicurist or teacher examination is \$71. The fee for the manager theory examination is \$31. The fee for each portion of an examination is:
Theory examination \$41
Performance examination (not applicable to managers) \$30
(c) Other fees $\mbox{\bf]}$ Fees charged by the Board are as follows:
Licensure of cosmetologist, manicurist or cosmetician \$10
Licensure of cosmetology shop manager or cosmetology teacher\$10
Licensure of cosmetology shop, manicurist shop or cosmetician shop\$55
Licensure of cosmetology school \$160
Licensure by reciprocity\$20
Registration of cosmetology apprentice \$70
Biennial renewal of manicurist's license \$21
Biennial renewal of cosmetician's license $\ \ldots \ \$21$
Biennial renewal of cosmetologist's license \$23
Biennial renewal of cosmetology shop manager's or cosmetology teacher's license \$36
Biennial renewal of cosmetology shop's license \$41

manicurist shop's license\$25

Biennial renewal of cosmetician or

Biennial renewal of cosmetology school's license \ldots \$66
Approval of cosmetology school supervisor \$20
Change in cosmetology, cosmetician or manicurist shop (inspection required)
Change in cosmetology, cosmetician or manicurist shop (no inspection required) \$15
Reinspection of cosmetology, cosmetician or manicurist shop or cosmetology school
Certification of student or apprentice training hours\$30
Verification of license, registration, permit or approval
[Pa.B. Doc. No. 01-1837. Filed for public inspection October 12, 2001, 9:00 a.m.]

STATE BOARD OF DENTISTRY

[49 PA. CODE CH. 33]
Application Fees

The State Board of Dentistry (Board) proposes to amend § 33.3 (relating to fees) by revising certain application fees to read as set forth in Annex A.

A. Effective Date

The amendment will be effective upon publication of the final-form regulation in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

B. Statutory Authority

The proposed amendment is authorized under section 4(b) of the Dental Law (law) (63 P. S. § 123(b)).

C. Background and Purpose

The law requires the Board to set fees by regulation so that revenues meet or exceed expenditures over a biennial period. General operating expenses of the Board for services that are provided directly to individual licensees or applicants are excluded from general operating revenues and are funded through fees in which the cost of providing the service forms the basis for the fee.

In a recent systems audit of the operations of the Board within the Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs (Bureau), the fees for services to licensees and applicants were analyzed to determine if the fees reflected the actual cost of providing the services. Actual cost calculations are based upon the following formula:

Number of minutes to perform the function

×

Pay rate for the classification of personnel performing the function

+

A proportionate share of administrative overhead

The analysis, with regard to the Board, determined that there are currently no fees for two services, which do not support the actual cost of providing those services: application for dental radiology authorization and notification application—postgraduate training or faculty member.

Section 11.4 of the law (63 P. S. § 130e) provides that no auxiliary personnel may perform radiologic procedures unless under the direct supervision of the dentist who is on the premises at the time that the X-ray is taken, and unless the person has passed the radiologic examination. Section 33.302 (relating to auxiliary personnel performing radiologic procedures) implements these provisions. Persons who have passed the Board approval dental radiologic procedure examination file an application requesting authorization to perform those radiologic procedures under the direct supervision of a dentist. A letter of authorization is issued by the Board.

Section 2(d) of the law (63 P. S. § 121(d)) allows dentists who are licensed in another state or country to practice without Pennsylvania licensure in this Commonwealth for the limited purpose of teaching, including clinical teaching, in a dental school or advanced dental education program in this Commonwealth approved by the Board after notification to the Board and in accordance with Board regulations. Section 2(f) of the law also allows the practice of dentistry without a license by persons in a dental clinic operated not for profit during the duration of an internship, residency or other approved graduate training program, by persons with the required education for admission into the program, and after notification to the Board.

In this proposal, fees for the services identified previously would be adjusted to allocate costs to those who use the service or make application. The Board would continue to apportion enforcement and operating costs to the general licensing population by means of its license renewal fee through the biennial reconciliation of revenue and expenditures.

Administrative Overhead

During reviews of similar proposed application fee regulations for other boards, the Independant Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC) requested that the Bureau and the boards: (1) itemize the overhead cost to be recouped by the fees; and (2) reexamine the method that is used to determine the administrative overhead factor for each fee.

IRRC commented that although the Bureau's method was reasonable, there was no assurance that the fees would recover the actual overhead cost because the charge was not related to the service, and because the charge was based on the actual rather than the projected expenditures. IRRC also commented that there was no certainty that the projected revenues would meet or exceed projected expenditures over a 2-year period, as required under enabling statutes.

In computing overhead charges, the boards and the Bureau include expenses resulting from service of support staff operations, equipment, technology initiatives or upgrades, leased office space and other sources not directly attributable to a specific board. Once determined, the Bureau's total administrative charge is apportioned to each board based upon the board's share of the total active licensee population. In turn, the board's administrative charge is divided by the number of active licensees to calculate a "per application" charge which is added to direct personnel cost to establish the cost of processing. The administrative charge is consistently applied to every application regardless of how much time the staff spends processing the application.

This method of calculating administrative overhead to be apportioned to fees for services was first included in the biennial reconciliation of fees and expenses conducted in 1988-89. In accordance with the regulatory review, the method was approved by the Senate and House Standing Committees and IRRC as reasonable and consistent with the legislative intent of statutory provisions which require the Board to establish fees which meet or exceed expenses.

IRRC suggested that within each board, the administrative charge should be determined by the amount of time required to process each application. For example, an application requiring $\frac{1}{2}$ hour of processing time would pay one-half as much overhead charge as an application requiring 1 hour of processing time. The Bureau concurs with IRRC that by adopting this methodology the Bureau and the boards would more nearly and accurately accomplish their objective of setting fees that cover the cost of the service. Therefore, in accordance with IRRC's suggestions, the Bureau conducted a test to compare the resulting overhead charges obtained by applying the IRRC suggested time factor versus the current method.

This review of board operations showed that approximately 25% of staff time was devoted to providing services described in the regulations. The current method recouped 22% to 28% of the administrative overhead charges versus 25% recouped using a ratio-based time factor. However, when the time factor is combined with the licensing population for each board, the resulting fees vary widely even though different licensees may receive the same services, for example, using the time-factor method to issue a verification of licensure would cost \$34.58 for a landscape architect as compared with a cost of \$10.18 for a cosmetologist. Conversely, under the Bureau method the administrative overhead charge of \$9.76 represents the cost of processing a verification application for all licensees in the Bureau. Also, the Bureau found that employing a time factor in the computation of administrative overhead would result in a different amount of overhead charge being made for each fee proposed.

With regard to IRRC's suggestions concerning projected versus actual expenses, the boards note that the computation of projected expenditures based on amount actually expended has been the basis for biennial reconciliations for the past 12 years. During these 6 biennial cycles, the experience of both the boards and the Bureau has established that verifiable data can be substantiated by collective bargaining agreements, pay scales and cost benefit factors. This method has provided a reliable basis for fees. Also, the fees are kept at a minimum for licensees, but appear adequate to sustain the operations of the boards over an extended period. Similarly, accounting, recordkeeping and swift processing of applications, renewals and other fees were the primary basis for "rounding up" the actual costs to establish a fee. This rounding up process has in effect resulted in the necessary but minimal cushion or surplus to accommodate unexpected needs and expenditures.

For these reasons, the boards have not made changes in the method by which administrative expenditures are allocated and the resulting fees will remain as proposed.

D. Description of Services

Professional licensing boards other than the Board have also been proposing revisions to nonrenewal fees. Review of the proposed new fee regulations by the House Professional Licensure Committee and Senate Consumer Protection and Professional License Committee indicated that certain explanations of the services for which fees are charged would be helpful for an understanding of the need to set appropriate fees.

Application Fee for Dental Radiology Authorization

This fee is necessary to offset costs incurred by the Board to process a request for authorization to perform radiologic procedures under the direct supervision of a dentist.

Applicants apply to and are examined by a professional testing contractor. Candidates who pass the examination are reported to the Bureau by the contractor. This information is entered into the Bureau's database and an authorization letter is issued to the applicant. The information must be maintained in the database perpetually, for informational purposes and also for providing duplication authorization letters upon request. This fee is the only contribution applicants make to the operational expenses of the Board since the authorization is not subject to renewal. The administrative overhead charge has been averaged for the boards offering the radiology examination.

Notification Application—Postgraduate Training or Faculty Member

This fee is necessary to offset costs incurred by the Board to process a notification application for postgraduate training or faculty member.

The Board receives the application and supporting documents, reviews for completeness, contacts the applicant to request any missing information or documents, or both, confirms the status of the applicant's license in another state or foreign education, if applicable, and issues a letter of authorization, or discrepancy notice, as appropriate.

G. Description of Proposed Amendments

A \$20 fee would be established for Board authorization to perform radiologic procedures under the direct supervision of a dentist. A \$25 fee is proposed to issue an approval of training.

H. Compliance with Executive Order 1996-1

In accordance with Executive Order 1996-1 (February 6, 1996), in drafting and promulgating the proposed amendment, the Board considered the least restrictive alternative to regulate costs for services requested by licensees and applicants. The Board solicited predraft comments from 133 dental organizations, schools and individuals on July 18, 2001.

I. Fiscal Impact and Paperwork Requirements

The proposed rulemaking will have no adverse fiscal impact on the Commonwealth or its political subdivisions. The fees will have a modest fiscal impact on those members of the private sector who apply for services from the Board. The amendments will impose no additional paperwork requirements upon the Commonwealth, political subdivisions or the private sector.

J. Sunset Date

The Board continuously monitors the cost effectiveness of its regulations. Therefore, no sunset date has been assigned.

K. Regulatory Review

Under section 5(a) of the Regulatory Review Act (71 P. S. § 745.5(a)), on September 27, 2001, the Board submitted a copy of this proposed rulemaking to the House Professional Licensure Committee, the Senate Consumer Protection and Professional Licensure Committee and IRRC. In addition to submitting the proposed rulemaking, the Board has provided IRRC and the Committees with a copy of a detailed Regulatory Analysis Form prepared by the Board in compliance with Executive Order 1996-1, "Regulatory Review and Promulgation." A copy of this material is available to the public upon request.

If IRRC has objections to any portion of the proposed rulemaking, it will notify the Board within 10 days after the expiration of the Committees' review period. The notification shall specify detailed procedures for review, prior to final publication of the regulation, by the Board, the General Assembly and the Governor, of objections raised.

L. Public Comment

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment to Lisa Burns, Administrator, State Board of Dentistry, P.O. Box 2649, Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649, within 30 days of publication of this proposed rulemaking. Please reference No. 16A-4611 (Application Fees), when submitting comments.

NORBERT O. GANNON, D.D.S., Chairperson

Fiscal Note: 16A-4611. No fiscal impact; (8) recommends adoption.

Annex A

TITLE 49. PROFESSIONAL AND VOCATIONAL STANDARDS

PART I. DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Subpart A. PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

CHAPTER 33. STATE BOARD OF DENTISTRY Subchapter A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 33.3. Fees.

Following is the schedule of fees charged by the Board:

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 01-1838. Filed for public inspection October 12, 2001, 9:00 a.m.]