Title 225—RULES OF EVIDENCE

[225 PA. CODE ART. VIII]

Title 234—RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

[234 PA. CODE CH. 5]

Proposed Adoption of Pa.R.Crim.P. 574 and Proposed Amendment of Comment to Pa.R.E. 802

The Criminal Procedural Rules Committee is considering a recommendation to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania to adopt Rule of Criminal Procedure 574 (Forensic Laboratory Report; Certification in Lieu of Expert Report) to provide procedures for the admissibility of forensic laboratory reports in lieu of expert testimony. The Committee on Rules of Evidence is considering a recommendation to the Supreme Court to amend the Comment to Rule of Evidence 802 to identify and describe Rule of Criminal Procedure 574. This proposal has not been submitted for review by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

The following explanatory Report highlights the Committees' considerations in formulating this proposal. Please note that the Committees' Reports should not be confused with the official Committee Comments to the rules. Also note that the Supreme Court does not adopt a Committee's Comments or the contents of the explanatory Reports.

The text of the proposed amendments to the rule precedes the Report. Additions are shown in bold; deletions are in bold and brackets.

We request that interested persons submit suggestions, comments, or objections concerning this proposal in writing to the Committee through counsel,

> Jeffrey M. Wasileski, Counsel Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Criminal Procedural Rules Committee 601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 6200 Harrisburg, PA 17106-2635 fax: (717) 231-9521 e-mail: criminalrules@pacourts.us

no later than Monday, February 18, 2013.

HONORABLE NANCY L. BUTTS, Vice Chair Criminal Procedural Rules Committee CHRISTOPHER H. CONNORS,

Chair Committee on Rules of Evidence

Annex A

TITLE 225. RULES OF EVIDENCE ARTICLE VIII. HEARSAY

(*Editor's Note*: The proposed amendments to Rule 802 are based on the proposed restyled Rules of Evidence published at 41 Pa.B. 2795, 2813, 2814 (May 28, 2011).)

Rule 802. The Rule Against Hearsay.

Hearsay is not admissible except as provided by these rules, by other rules prescribed by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, or by statute.

Comment

* * * * *

On occasion, hearsay may be admitted pursuant to another rule promulgated by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. For example, in civil cases, all or part of a deposition may be admitted pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. No. 4020, or a video deposition of an expert witness may be admitted pursuant to Pa.R.C.P. No. 4017.1(g). In preliminary hearings in criminal cases, the court may consider hearsay evidence pursuant to Pa.R.Crim.P. 542(E) and 1003(E). In criminal trials, Pa.R.Crim.P. 574 provides a procedure for the admission of forensic laboratory reports supported by a certification.

Hearsay Exceptions and the Right of Confrontation of a Defendant in a Criminal Case

* * * * *

In short, when hearsay is offered against a defendant in a criminal case, the defendant may interpose three separate objections: (1) admission of the evidence would violate the hearsay rule, (2) admission of the evidence would violate defendant's right to confront the witnesses against him under the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and (3) admission of the evidence would violate defendant's right "to be confronted with the witnesses against him" under Article I, § 9 of the Pennsylvania Constitution.

Pennsylvania Rule of Criminal Procedure 574 provides a mechanism for the admission of a forensic laboratory report supported by a certification. This Rule provides a defendant an opportunity to exercise the right of confrontation and to object to the report on hearsay grounds. Following pre-trial notice by the prosecution, and in the absence of a demand by defendant for declarant's live testimony, the Rule permits the admission of a properly certified forensic laboratory report at trial. See Pa.R.Crim.P. 574.

Committee Explanatory Reports:

* * * * *

Final Report explaining the , 2013 revision of the Comment published with the Court's Order at 43 Pa.B. (, 2013).

TITLE 234. RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CHAPTER 5. PRETRIAL PROCEDURES IN COURT CASES

PART G(1). Motion Procedures

(*Editor's Note*: The following rule is new and printed in regular type to enhance readability.)

Rule 574. Forensic Laboratory Report; Certification in Lieu of Expert Testimony.

(A) In any trial, the attorney for the Commonwealth may seek to offer into evidence a forensic laboratory report supported by a certification, as provided in paragraph (D), in lieu of testimony by the person who performed the analysis or examination that is the subject of the report.

(B) Notice

- (1) If the attorney for the Commonwealth intends to offer the report as provided in paragraph (A) as evidence at trial, the attorney for the Commonwealth shall serve upon the defendant's attorney, or if unrepresented, the defendant a written notice of that fact at the time of the disclosure of the report but no later than 20 days prior to the start of trial.
- (2) A copy of the report shall be provided to the defendant prior to or contemporaneously with the notice.
- (3) Except as provided in paragraph (C), the report and certification are admissible in evidence to the same effect as if the person who performed the analysis or examination had personally testified.

(C) Demand

- (1) No later than 10 days following receipt of the notice provided in paragraph (B), the defendant's attorney, or if unrepresented, the defendant may serve upon the attorney of the Commonwealth, a written demand for the person who performed the analysis or examination that is the subject of the report to testify at trial.
- (2) If a written demand is filed, the report and certificate are not admissible under paragraph (B)(3) unless the analyst testifies.
- (3) If no demand for live testimony is made to the use of the laboratory report and certificate within the time allowed by this section, the report and certificate are admissible in evidence.

(D) Certification

- (1) The analyst who performed the analysis or examination that is the subject of the report shall complete a certificate in which the analyst shall state:
- (a) that he or she is qualified by education, training, and experience to perform the analysis;
 - (b) a description of his or her regular duties;
- (c) the name and location of the laboratory where the analysis was performed; and
- (d) that the tests were performed under industry-approved procedures or standards and the report accurately reflects the analyst's findings and opinions regarding the results of those tests or analysis.
- (2) An analyst employed by a laboratory that is accredited by a state, national, or international accreditation entity may, in lieu of the required certificate under paragraph (D)(1), submit a copy of the laboratory's accreditation certificate.

Comment

This rule was adopted in 2013 to address the issues raised by the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*, 557 U.S. 305 (2009), that held that the 6th amendment confrontation right precluded presentation of laboratory reports without a live witness testifying in the trial. In *Melendez-Diaz*, the U.S. Supreme Court noted with approval the use of "notice and demand" procedures as a means of permitting routine laboratory reports to be admitted without the expense of supporting the admission by live expert testimony while protecting a defendant's confrontation rights.

This rule provides a "notice and demand" procedure for Pennsylvania. Under the rule, the attorney for the Commonwealth may seek to admit a forensic laboratory report as evidence without the testimony of the analyst who performed the testing that was the subject of the report if notice requirements are met and no demand for the presence of the analyst is made. If the defendant makes such a demand, the analyst would be required to testify before the report could be admitted into evidence.

Nothing in this rule is intended to preclude a stipulation agreed to by the parties for the admission of the laboratory report without the analyst's presence.

For cause shown, the judge may extend the time period of filing a demand for live testimony or grant a continuance of the trial.

For purposes of paragraph (D)(2) of this rule, a laboratory is "accredited" when its management, personnel, quality system, operational and technical procedures, equipment and physical facilities meet standards established by a recognized state, national, or international accrediting organization such as the American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accrediting Board (ASCLD/LAB) or Forensic Quality Services—International (FQS-I).

Official Note: New Rule 574 adopted , 2012, effective , 2012.

Committee Explanatory Reports:

Report explaining new Rule 574 providing for notice and demand procedures regarding forensic laboratory reports published for comment at 43 Pa.B. 211 (January 12, 2013).

JOINT REPORT

Proposed New Pa.R.Crim.P. 574 and Proposed Revision of the Comment to Pa.R.E. 802

Forensic Laboratory Report; Certification in Lieu of Expert Testimony

Background

The Criminal Procedural Rules Committee and the Committee on Rules of Evidence were requested by the Pennsylvania District Attorneys Association to consider a "notice and demand" rule of criminal procedure or evidence.

This request arose from the 2009 United States Supreme Court case of *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*, 557 U.S. 305 (2009) in which the Court held that the evidentiary use of a report of a forensic test on an alleged controlled substance violated the defendant's right to confront the witness against him because the preparer of the report did not testify at the defendant's trial. The Court rejected the prosecution's argument that the report was admissible as a business record or official record, and the argument that compelling the appearance of the person who performed the test was time consuming and wasteful since, in the overwhelming majority of cases, the defendant would not contest the accuracy of the test.

The Court in *Melendez-Diaz* noted with approval "simple" notice-and-demand procedures that require the prosecution to give notice to the defense of its intent to introduce evidence without calling the necessary witnesses under the Confrontation Clause. The defense then must give notice to the prosecution that it is demanding that the witness testify and be subject to cross-examination.

After discussing the Association's letter at their respective meetings, the Committees formed a joint subcommittee to investigate whether and how to proceed. The subcommittee found merit in a "notice and demand" procedure that would provide a mechanism for defendants to exercise their rights under the Confrontation Clause and to provide for the admissibility of forensic laboratory reports in lieu of expert testimony. The claimed benefit of a notice and demand procedure would be a lesser burden on the Commonwealth in scheduling these witnesses, fewer expenses associated with attendance of these witnesses at trial, and increased availability of these analysts and technicians to perform lab/field work rather than appearing in court. Additionally, the procedure would provide a timely and structured mechanism for defendants to raise a Confrontation Clause demand. See Melendez-Diaz, 557 U.S. at 327.

Based upon the recommendations of the joint subcommittee, the Committees approved for publication proposed new Rule of Criminal Procedure 574 and correlative amendment of the Comment to Rule of Evidence 802.

Proposed Rule of Criminal Procedure 574

In developing proposed new Rule of Criminal Procedure 574, 1 a number of other jurisdictions' "notice and demand" statutes and rules were considered, including recently adopted Michigan Court Rule 6.202. Proposed new Rule 574 is modeled on portions of the Michigan rule and provides for the prosecution's admission of forensic laboratory reports at a criminal trial in lieu of the live testimony of the person who performed the laboratory analysis or examination. This admission would be predicated on compliance with three elements: 1) notice; 2) demand; and 3) certification.

Unlike the Michigan rule that requires notice to be given in every case, use of this procedure would be optional with the prosecution. The Committees have concluded that mandatory use of the notice procedure would not be efficient in many cases, especially in larger counties where stipulations of admissibility are common and producing an expert to testify is relatively easy in those cases in which a stipulation cannot be reached. In other words, a live witness would be necessary in order to have forensic reports admitted but the Commonwealth could rely on either traditional stipulations or the new notice procedures to be able to introduce the report without a witness. The new rule is not intended to preclude or discourage the use of stipulations.

In order to utilize the proposed notice procedure, the attorney for the Commonwealth would be required to serve defense counsel, or defendant if unrepresented, written notice of the intention to invoke Rule 574 to admit the report without accompanying live testimony. This notice, together with the forensic laboratory report if not already provided, must be given at least 20 days before the start of defendant's trial. The Committees anticipate that the practice in many judicial districts will be to provide the notice and report during the discovery process, which generally occurs prior to the 20-day notice deadline.

No later than 10 days after receiving the prosecution's notice, the defendant's attorney, or the defendant if unrepresented, would have the option of serving a written demand on the prosecution that the witness appear and

testify at trial. Such a demand would preclude the admission of the forensic laboratory report or certificate absent an analyst's testimony. This is unlike the Michigan rule that speaks in terms of a defense "objection" rather than "demand," with the implication that the trial judge could overrule the objection.

If no demand is made, then the report and certificate are admissible without witness testimony. However, as noted in the Comment to Rule 574, for cause shown, the judge would have the discretion to extend the time period of filing a demand for live testimony or grant a continuance of the trial.

The new rule also would require that the analyst who performed the analysis or examination to complete a certificate detailing his or her qualifications, job description, laboratory information, and the procedures and standards in which the analysis or examination were conducted. However, if the laboratory is properly accredited, a copy of the accreditation certificate may be submitted in lieu of the analyst's certification. The Comment would contain a definition of "accreditation."

Proposed Revision of the Comment to Rule of Evidence 802

As explained in the Comments, the Rules of Evidence do not attempt to codify requirements under the Confrontation Clause. See Pa.R.E. 802, Comment. Moreover, the Rules of Evidence acknowledge that evidentiary rules may exist in other bodies of rules. *Id.* Proposed Criminal Rule of Procedure 574 would operate both as a "notice and demand" mechanism to satisfy the requirements of the Confrontation Clause and as a new rule of evidence that would permit the admission of laboratory reports in criminal trials.

Accordingly, the Comment to Rule of Evidence 802 is proposed to be amended to recognize this new Rule and describe its operation.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-45. Filed for public inspection January 11, 2013, 9:00 a.m.]

Title 255—LOCAL COURT RULES

BUCKS COUNTY

Fees of Clerk of Orphans' Court Division; Administrative Order No. 66

Order

And Now, To Wit, this 18th day of December 2012, in accordance with the provisions of Act 18 of April 21, 1994, upon the determination of the Clerk of the Orphans' Court that these fees are fair and reasonable, the following Bill of Costs is established to become effective on February 4, 2013, to be chargeable to the parties and to the Estates before this Court for settlement for all services of the Clerk of the Orphans' Court Division of the Court of Common Pleas of Bucks County, in the transaction of the business of this Court.

By the Court

SUSAN DEVLIN SCOTT, President Judge

¹Currently, Rule 574 is not an active rule number, the previous version of that rule having been rescinded in 2004. As part of this proposal, new Rule 574 would be placed in the more general, introductory portion of Part F (Pretrial Procedures) rather than in its current location in Part F(1) (Motions Procedures) since the proposed notice and demand procedures would not be considered motions.

BUCKS COUNTY					FAMILY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		\$60.00	
ORPHANS' COURT FEE BILL						IMAGING (paper and electronic)—per page	/staff	\$1.00
Formal Accountings					—per page/	public	\$0.25	
Pers	sonal Represen	tative	e, Trustee and (Guardian	Accounts	Research		
	Includes	Adve	rtising and Adju	udication		Service Fees:		
					counts	Minimum Fee (up to 1 hour) \$25		
Over					vertising cluded)	Hourly Fee (over 1 hour/billed in 1/4 hour		Ψ20.00
\$	-	\$	250.00	\$	25.00	increments)	WI	\$40.00
\$	250.00	\$	1,000.00	\$	35.00	Imaging Fee (per page over 10 pgs.)		\$1.00
\$	1,000.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	50.00	Note: \$80.00 deposit required on any re-	search	request
\$	5,000.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	75.00	over two hours \$10.00		
\$	10,000.00	\$	25,000.00	\$	100.00			
\$	25,000.00	\$	50,000.00	\$	150.00	Marriage Licenses		
\$	50,000.00	\$	100,000.00	\$	200.00		50.00	
\$	100,000.00	\$	200,000.00	\$	250.00		10.00	
\$	200,000.00	\$	300,000.00	\$	350.00	Includes one certified Certificate of Marriage	20.00	\$80.00
	Add \$150		each additional	\$100,000		Affidavit of Name Verification	20.00	\$10.00
			action thereof			Certification of Marriage		\$20.00
Re-a	advertising Acc	ounts	\$100 Ins	olvent Es	states \$25	Consent of Parent or Guardian		\$10.00
	Exceptions	s or C	bjections to Acc	counts \$4	.0	Duplicate/Reissue Marriage License		\$10.00
			Estates			Non-resident Affidavit		\$20.00
AFF	FIDAVIT/CERT	IFICA	ATE OF SERVIO	CE	NC	Outside Office Appearance		\$125.00
ANI	NUAL REPORT	[/INV	ENTORY		\$30.00	Waiver—under age 16		\$35.00
ANS	SWER				NC	Waiver of three-day day waiting		
ACI	P FEE, state m	andat	ed (all first filir	ngs)	\$10.00	period		
API	PEALS:					Emergency		\$75.00
		eal fr	om Register of V	Wills	400.00	Active Military		NC
	Decision	1			\$80.00	INFORMAL ACCOUNTS		\$60.00
	Notice of Appea		=		\$80.00	JCP FEE, state mandated		\$23.50
Filing Fee to Superior Court (payable to Superior Court)				le to	\$73.50	(inter vivos trusts, incapacitated & mine estates)	ors	
BOND, filing					\$15.00	MOTION		\$85.00
	METERY TRUS				\$100.00	(1) Continuance		NC
		OF R.	ECORD (includ	ing	\$20.00	(2) Re-List		NC
Apostille): Birth Records (1893—1906)					Ψ20.00	(3) Rule Absolute		NC
Death Records (1893—1906)						NOTARY FEE: \$5.00 (each instrument)		\$5.00
(plus copies/per page over 10 pgs.)					1.00	PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS		\$85.00
CITATION					\$40.00	ON-LINE SEARCH FEE		
CLAIM (incl. SATISFACTION and/or					,	Imaging fee/per page		\$0.10
WITHDRAWAL)					\$40.00	OUTSIDE OFFICE APPEARANCE		\$125.00
DEED EXECUTION BY CLERK					\$20.00	PETITIONS (all, including Guardianships)		\$85.00
DISCLAIMER					\$30.00	PLEADINGS & PAPERS (instruments not specifically listed)		\$35.00
DOCKET ENTRIES (per page)					\$1.00	PRAECIPE		\$35.00
ELECTION AGAINST A WILL					\$20.00	Entry /Withdrawal of Appearance		NC
ELECTRONIC TRANSFER SERVICE FEE					\$10.00	Discontinue/Withdrawal Petition		\$35.00
(plus images/per page over 10 pgs./max. fee \$50.00)				nax. fee	\$1.00	Enter Judgment		\$35.00
EXEMPLIFICATION OF RECORD (issued)				ned)	\$60.00	Satisfaction of Award		\$15.00
(plus images/per page over 10 pgs.)					1.00	Settle, Discontinue and End		\$15.00
,	/r-ras mages/pc	- Pag	- 0.01 10 PS0.)		1.00			

POUNDAGE (for monies determined by the Court)	established to become effective on February 4, 2013, to be chargeable to the parties and to the Estates before this Court for settlement for all services of the Register of		
Percentage of each dollar of the first \$1,000	5.00%	Wills of Bucks County, in the transaction of the	
Percentage of each dollar of each additional \$1000 or fraction thereof	1.00%	of this Court.	
POWER OF ATTORNEY	\$40.00	By the Court	~~~~~
RECEIPT & RELEASE	\$35.00	SUSAN DEVLIN SCO President S	
RETURNED CHECK FEE	\$45.00		
SCHEDULE OF DISTRIBUTION	\$35.00	BUCKS COUNTY REGISTER OF WILLS—FEE (Effective 2/04/13)	
STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY	\$50.00	ACCOUNTING (Informal)	\$60.00
STIPULATION	\$15.00	BOND (filing fee—probate)	\$15.00
SUBPOENA	\$20.00	CAVEAT	Ψ10.00
TRUST INSTRUMENTS	\$50.00	Formal (including Bond)	\$115.00
Adoptions		Informal	\$50.00
ACP FEE, state mandated (all first filings)	\$10.00	Answer	NC
Adoption Inquiries (search inquiries)	\$30.00	Withdrawal	\$15.00
Amended Birth Certificate Application (Form		CERTIFICATION (including Apostille)	,
H105.102)	\$10.00	Filed or issued	\$20.00
(check payable to Vital Records)		(plus Images/per page over 10 pgs.)	\$1.00
Counseling Fee (filed with Report of Intention to Adopt)	\$75.00	CITATION	
JCP FEE, state mandated (first filings for	φ.σ.σσ	First person cited	\$90.00
petitions for adoptions)	\$23.50	Each additional person cited	\$10.00
Petition for Adoption (includes Certificate of	444 7 0 0	Answer	NC
Adoption)	\$115.00	Withdrawal	NC
Petition (other)	405.00	Certified copy of Citation/each	\$20.00
Confirmation of Consent	\$85.00	CODICIL (filing/each)	\$20.00
Putative Father	\$85.00	COMMISSION	\$50.00
Register a Foreign Adoption	\$85.00	DEATH CERTIFICATE (filed, non-probate)	\$10.00
Termination of Parental Rights	\$85.00 \$85.00	DISCLAIMER	\$30.00
Voluntary/Involuntary Relinquishment	\$89.00	DOCKET ENTRIES (per page)	\$1.00
Pre-placement fee payable to B.C. Children and Youth	\$650.00	ELECTRONIC TRANSFER SERVICE FEE	\$10.00
Report of Intention to Adopt	\$30.00	(plus image fee/per page over 10 pgs.)	\$1.00
Report of Intermediary	\$30.00	ENTRY/WITHDRAWAL OF APPEARANCE	NC
Note: Instruments not specifically listed will be	charged	EXEMPLIFICATION (issued, each)	\$60.00
at a rate comparable to this schedule for a		(plus copy fee/per page over 10 pgs.)	\$1.00
instrument		FAMILY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	\$60.00
Rev. 12/18/12		HEARING—before the Register of Wills*	\$250.00
[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-46. Filed for public inspection January 11, 2013, 9	:00 a.m.]	*Note: Deposit for court reporter, fee to be assessed at Hearing	\$200.00
		IMAGING, per page/staff	\$1.00
		per page/public	\$0.25
DUOVO COUNTY	INHERITANCE TAX		
BUCKS COUNTY	REV-1500—Inheritance Tax Return	\$25.00	
Fees of Register of Wills; Administrative O	Supplemental Return	\$20.00	
67	706—Federal Estate Tax Return	\$20.00	
Order	INVENTORY		
	Original Filing	\$25.00	
And Now, To Wit, this 18th day of December accordance with the provisions of Act 18 of April	Supplemental Filing/each	\$20.00	
upon the determination of the Register of Wills t fees are fair and reasonable, the following Bill o	LETTERS* calculated based on probate assets varies		

LETTERS (revocation or to su	accessor	\$75.00	POUNDAGE (for monies determined by the court Percentage of each dollar of the first \$1,000	5.00%	
MANDATORY FEES					Percentage of each dollar of each additional	0.0070	
ACP—A filings		d Computer Proje	et (all firs	st \$10.00	\$1000 or fraction thereof	1.00%	
0		. D /	11	*	PROCESSING FEE	\$25.00	
		omputer Project (all petitio	ons \$23.50	RESEARCH SERVICE FEES:		
for grant of letters)					Minimum Fee (up to 1 hour)	\$25.00	
Letters	of Adm	ninistration and	Testame	entary*	Hourly Fee (over 1 hour/billed in 1/4 hour		
Graduate	ed accord	ling to gross value	of proba	te assets	increments)	\$40.00	
		But not	•		Imaging Fee (per page over 10 pgs.)	\$1.00	
Over	to	more than		nt Due \$10.00	Note: \$80.00 deposit required on any research request over two hours		
•			=	*	RENUNCIATION, incl. REJECTION,		
250		1,000	=	\$15.00	RESIGNATION and/or DESIGNATION	\$15.00	
1,000		5,000	=	\$50.00	RETURNED CHECK FEE	\$45.00	
5,000		10,000	=	\$75.00	SHORT CERTIFICATE	\$10.00	
10,000	to	25,000	=	\$100.00	Update fee	\$4.00	
25,000	to	50,000	=	\$125.00	SUBPOENA	\$20.00	
50,000	to	100,000	=	\$150.00	WITNESS AFFIDAVIT	\$15.00	
100,000	to	200,000	=	\$250.00	*Additional Probate Fee: At the time of filing the	Petition	
200,000	to	300,000	=	\$350.00	for Grant of Letters an estimate of the gross	probate	
Add \$150 fe	or each a	additional \$100,00	0 or fract	tion thereof	value of the estate is made. The initial fee for L based on that estimated value. When the Inherita		
NON-PROB				NC	Return and Inventory are filed, the actual gross proba-		
(Under 20 Pa.C.S.A. § 3101)					value of the estate is then calculated. If the actual gros probate value is greater than the estimated gross probat		
NOTARY F	EE (per	document)		\$5.00	value, an additional probate fee is charged.	produce	
NOTICE OF	F APPE	AL FROM PROBA	$^{ m TE}$	\$50.00	Note: Instruments not specifically listed will be charg		
OUTSIDE OFFICE APPEARANCE \$125.00					at a rate comparable to this schedule for a similar instrument \$23.50		
PLEADINGS and PAPERS—filings (affidavits,					(Rev. 09/18/12)		
		mplifications, moti			[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-47. Filed for public inspection January 11, 2013, 9:00 a.m.]		
and misce listed)	enaneous	s instruments not	specifical	\$30.00			
				7			