

THE COURTS

Title 204—JUDICIAL SYSTEM GENERAL PROVISIONS

PART V. PROFESSIONAL ETHICS AND CONDUCT [204 PA. CODE CH. 83]

Amendment of Rule 219 of the Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement; No. 139 Disciplinary Rules Doc.

Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 17th day of March, 2016, upon the recommendation of the Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania; the proposal having been submitted without publication in the interests of justice pursuant to Pa.R.J.A. No. 103(a)(3):

It Is Ordered pursuant to Article V, Section 10 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania that Rule 219 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Disciplinary Enforcement is amended in the following form.

This *Order* shall be processed in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. No. 103(b), and shall be effective in 30 days.

Annex A

TITLE 204. JUDICIAL SYSTEM GENERAL PROVISIONS

PART V. PROFESSIONAL ETHICS AND CONDUCT

Subpart B. DISCIPLINARY ENFORCEMENT

CHAPTER 83. PENNSYLVANIA RULES OF DISCIPLINARY ENFORCEMENT

Subchapter B. MISCONDUCT

Rule 219. Annual registration of attorneys.

* * * * *

(d) On or before July 1 of each year, all attorneys required by this rule to pay an annual fee shall file with the Attorney Registration Office a signed or electronically endorsed form prescribed by the Attorney Registration Office in accordance with the following procedures:

(1) The form shall set forth:

* * * * *

(iii) The name of each Financial Institution, as defined in Pa.R.P.C. 1.15(a)(4), within or outside this Commonwealth in which the attorney [**on May 1 of the current year or at any time during the preceding 12 months**], from May 1 of the previous year to the date of the filing of the annual fee form, held funds of a client or a third person subject to Rule 1.15 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Professional Conduct. The form shall include the name and account number for each account in which the attorney held such funds, and each IOLTA Account shall be identified as such. The form provided to a person holding a Limited In-House Corporate Counsel License or a Foreign Legal Consultant License need not request the information required by this subparagraph.

For purposes of this subparagraph, the phrase “funds of a client or a third person subject to Rule 1.15 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Professional Conduct” means funds that belong to a client or third person and that an attorney receives:

(A) in connection with a client-lawyer relationship;

(B) as an escrow agent, settlement agent, representative payee, personal representative, guardian, conservator, receiver, trustee, agent under a durable power of attorney, or other similar fiduciary position;

(C) as an agent, having been designated as such by a client or having been so selected as a result of a client-lawyer relationship or the lawyer’s status as such;

(D) in connection with nonlegal services that are not distinct from legal services;

(E) in connection with nonlegal services that are distinct from legal services, and the attorney knows or reasonably should know that the recipient of the service might believe that the recipient is receiving the protection of a client-lawyer relationship; or

(F) as an owner, controlling party, employee, agent, or as one who is otherwise affiliated with an entity providing nonlegal services and the attorney knows or reasonably should know that the recipient of the service might believe that the recipient is receiving the protection of a client-lawyer relationship.

Official Note: For purposes of subparagraph (iii), “funds of a third person” shall not include funds held in: 1) an attorney’s personal account held jointly; or 2) a custodial account for a minor or dependent relative unless the source of any account funds is other than the attorney and his or her spouse.

If an attorney employed by a law firm receives fiduciary funds from or on behalf of a client and deposits or causes the funds to be deposited into a law firm account, the attorney must report the account of deposit under this subparagraph (iii).

(iv) Every account not reported under subparagraph (iii), that held funds of a client or [**third party**] a **third person**, and over which the attorney had sole or shared signature authority or authorization to transfer funds to or from the account, during the same time period specified in subparagraph (iii). For each account, the attorney shall provide the name of the financial institution (whether or not the entity qualifies as a “Financial Institution” under Pa.R.P.C. 1.15(a)(4)), location, and account number.

Official Note: Regarding “funds of a third person,” see Note to Rule 219(d)(1)(iii).

(v) Every business operating account maintained or utilized by the attorney in the practice of law during the same time period specified in subparagraph (iii). For each account, the attorney shall provide the name of the financial institution, location and account number.

* * * * *

(3) Every attorney who has filed the form or elects to file the form electronically shall notify the Attorney Registration Office in writing of any change in the information previously submitted, including e-mail address, within 30 days after such change, which notice shall be sent by mail or facsimile transmission, provided, however, that any change in the information required by subsections (d)(1)(iii), (iv) and (v) (collectively relating to financial account information) that occurs after the filing of the form required by subdivisions (a) and (d)(1) of this rule need only be reported on the next regular annual fee form due July 1. Failure to timely register and file the next annual fee form shall not excuse this subsection's requirement of reporting changes in financial account information on an annual basis on or before July 1, and failure to make such a report shall constitute a violation of this rule.

* * * * *

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 16-550. Filed for public inspection April 1, 2016, 9:00 a.m.]

Title 234—RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

[234 PA. CODE CHS. 1 AND 5]

Proposed New Pa.R.Crim.P. 576.1 and Proposed Amendments of Pa.Rs.Crim.P. 113, 114 and 576

The Criminal Procedural Rules Committee is planning to propose to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the adoption of new Rule 576.1 (Electronic Filing and Service of Legal Papers) and the amendment of Rules 113 (Criminal Case File and Docket Entries), 114 (Orders and Court Notices: Filing; Service; and Docket Entries), and 576 (Filing and Service by Parties) for the reasons set forth in the accompanying explanatory report. Pursuant to Pa.R.J.A. No. 103(a)(1), the proposal is being published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* for comments, suggestions, or objections prior to submission to the Supreme Court.

Any reports, notes, or comments in the proposal have been inserted by the Committee for the convenience of those using the rules. They neither will constitute a part of the rules nor will be officially adopted by the Supreme Court.

Additions to the text of the proposal are bolded; deletions to the text are bolded and bracketed.

The Committee invites all interested persons to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in writing to:

Jeffrey M. Wasileski, Counsel
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Criminal Procedural Rules Committee
601 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 6200
Harrisburg, PA 17106-2635
fax: (717) 231-9521
e-mail: criminalrules@pacourts.us

All communications in reference to the proposal should be received by no later than Friday, May 6, 2016. E-mail is the preferred method for submitting comments, sugges-

tions, or objections; any e-mailed submission need not be reproduced and resubmitted via mail. The Committee will acknowledge receipt of all submissions.

By the Criminal Procedural Rules Committee

JEFFREY A. MANNING,
Chair

Annex A

TITLE 234. RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CHAPTER 1. SCOPE OF RULES, CONSTRUCTION AND DEFINITIONS, LOCAL RULES

PART A. Business of the Courts

Rule 113. Criminal Case File and Docket Entries.

* * * * *

(C) The docket entries shall include at a minimum the following information:

* * * * *

(8) all other information required by Rules 114 and 576.

(D) If a judicial district has provided for electronic filing pursuant to Rule 576.1, the criminal case file in which electronic filing has been utilized may be maintained solely in an electronic format as long as copies of the documents maintained in the criminal case file may be produced in a physical paper format.

Comment

This rule sets forth the mandatory contents of the list of docket entries and the criminal case files. This is not intended to be an exhaustive list of what is required to be recorded in the docket entries. The judicial districts may require additional information be recorded in a case or in all cases.

* * * * *

Official Note: Former Rule 9024 adopted October 21, 1983, effective January 1, 1984; amended March 22, 1993, effective as to cases in which the determination of guilt occurs on or after January 1, 1994; renumbered Rule 9025 June 2, 1994, effective September 1, 1994. New Rule 9024 adopted June 2, 1994, effective September 1, 1994; renumbered Rule 113 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; rescinded March 3, 2004 and replaced by Rule 114(C), effective July 1, 2004. New Rule 113 adopted March 3, 2004, effective July 1, 2004; amended July 31, 2012, effective November 1, 2012; Comment revised October 22, 2013; effective January 1, 2014; **amended** , **2016, effective** , **2016.**

Committee Explanatory Reports:

Final Report explaining the provisions of the new rule published with the Court's Order at 34 Pa.B. 1561 (March 20, 2004).

Final Report explaining the July 31, 2012 amendment adding new paragraph (6) concerning defendants under the age of 18 published with the Court's Order at 42 Pa.B. 5340 (August 18, 2012).

Final Report explaining the October 22, 2013 revisions to the Comment regarding the unexecuted search warrants published with the Court's Order at 43 Pa.B. 6652 (November 9, 2013).

Report explaining proposed amendment providing for maintenance of electronically filed documents published for comment at 46 Pa.B. 1647 (April 2, 2016).

Rule 114. Orders and Court Notices: Filing; Service; and Docket Entries.

* * * * *

(B) Service

* * * * *

(3) Methods of Service

* * * * *

(c) A party's attorney, or the party if unrepresented, may request to receive service of court orders or notices pursuant to this rule by facsimile transmission or other electronic means by

(i) filing a written request for this method of service in the case or including a facsimile number or an electronic address on a prior legal paper filed in the case; or

(ii) filing a written request for this method of service to be performed in all cases, specifying a facsimile number or an electronic address to which these orders and notices may be sent.

The request for electronic service in all cases filed pursuant to paragraph (ii) may be rescinded at any time by the party's attorney, or the party if unrepresented, by filing a written notice that service of orders and notices shall be accomplished as otherwise provided in this rule.

(d) In a judicial district that permits electronic filing pursuant to Rule 576.1, service of court orders or notices shall be made as provided in Rule 576.1(D)(2) and (H)(1).

(C) Docket Entries

* * * * *

Official Note: Formerly Rule 9024, adopted October 21, 1983, effective January 1, 1984; amended March 22, 1993, effective as to cases in which the determination of guilt occurs on or after January 1, 1994; renumbered Rule 9025 and Comment revised June 2, 1994, effective September 1, 1994; renumbered Rule 114 and Comment revised March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; amended March 3, 2004, effective July 1, 2004; amended August 24, 2004, effective August 1, 2005; amended July 20, 2006, effective September 1, 2006; Comment revised September 18, 2008, effective February 1, 2009; amended December 6, 2010, effective February 1, 2011; amended , 2016, effective , 2016.

Committee Explanatory Reports:

* * * * *

Final Report explaining the December 6, 2010 amendment concerning consent to electronic service published with the Court's Order at 40 Pa.B. 7336 (December 25, 2010).

Report explaining proposed amendments for service where a court has adopted electronic filing published for comment at 46 Pa.B. 1647 (April 2, 2016).

CHAPTER 5. PRETRIAL PROCEDURES IN COURT CASES

PART G(1). Motion Procedures

Rule 576. Filing and Service by Parties.

(A) FILING

(1) All written motions and any written answers, and any notices or documents for which filing is required, shall be filed with the clerk of courts.

(2) Filing shall be [by]:

(a) by personal delivery to the clerk of courts; [or]

(b) by mail addressed to the clerk of courts. Except as provided by law, filing by mail shall be timely only when actually received by the clerk of courts within the time fixed for filing[.] ; or,

(c) in a judicial district that permits electronic filing pursuant to Rule 576.1, as provided in Rule 576.1(E).

(3) The clerk of courts shall accept all written motions, answers, notices, or documents presented for filing. When a document, which is filed pursuant to paragraph (A)(1), is received by the clerk of courts, the clerk shall time stamp it with the date of receipt and make a docket entry reflecting the date of receipt, and promptly shall place the document in the criminal case file.

* * * * *

(B) SERVICE

* * * * *

(4) Certificate of Service

(a) All documents that are filed and served pursuant to this rule shall include a certificate of service.

(b) The certificate of service shall be in substantially the form set forth in the Comment, signed by the party's attorney, or the party if unrepresented, and shall include the date and manner of service, and the names, addresses, and phone numbers of the persons served.

(5) In a judicial district that permits electronic filing pursuant to Rule 576.1, service shall be made as provided in Rule 576.1(D)(2) and (H)(1).

(C) Any non-party requesting relief from the court in a case shall file the motion with the clerk of courts as provided in paragraph (A), and serve the defendant's attorney, or the defendant if unrepresented, the attorney for the Commonwealth, and the court administrator as provided in paragraph (B).

Comment

For the procedures for electronic filing and service as a local option, see Rule 576.1.

Paragraph (A)(1) requires the filing of all written motions and answers. The provision also applies to notices and other documents only if filing is required by some other rule or provision of law. See, e.g., the notice of withdrawal of charges provisions in Rule 561 (Withdrawal of Charges by Attorney for the Commonwealth), the notice of alibi defense and notice of insanity defense or mental infirmity defense provisions in Rule 573 (Pretrial Discovery and Inspection), the notice that offenses or

defendants will be tried together provisions in Rule 582 (Joinder—Trial of Separate Indictments or Informations), the notice of aggravating circumstances provisions in Rule 802 (Notice of Aggravating Circumstances), and the notice of challenge to a guilty plea provisions in Municipal Court cases in Rule 1007 (Challenge to Guilty Plea).

* * * * *

Official Note: Former Rule 9022 adopted October 21, 1983, effective January 1, 1984; amended March 22, 1993, effective January 1, 1994; amended July 9, 1996, effective September 1, 1996; renumbered Rule 576 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001. Former Rule 9023 adopted October 21, 1983, effective January 1, 1984; amended June 2, 1994, effective September 1, 1994; renumbered Rule 577 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; rescinded March 3, 2004, effective July 1, 2004. Rules 576 and 577 combined and amended March 3, 2004, effective July 1, 2004, Comment revised June 4, 2004, effective November 1, 2004; Comment revised September 18, 2008, effective February 1, 2009; Comment revised September 21, 2012, effective November 1, 2012; **amended** , **2016, effective** , **2016.**

Committee Explanatory Reports:

* * * * *

Final Report explaining the September 21, 2012 revision of the Comment correcting a typographical error in the thirteenth paragraph published with the Court's Order at 42 Pa.B. 6251 (October 6, 2012).

Report explaining the proposed amendment regarding electronic filing and service pursuant to Rule 576.1 published for comment at 46 Pa.B. 1647 (April 2, 2016).

(Editor's Note: The following rule is new and printed in regular type to enhance readability.)

Rule 576.1. Electronic Filing and Service of Legal Papers.

(A) The president judge of a judicial district by local rule promulgated pursuant to Rule 105 may authorize electronic filing of legal papers with the clerk of courts in cases in the courts of common pleas and in the Philadelphia Municipal Court through the statewide electronic filing system as provided in this rule.

(B) The local rule required under this rule shall include the following provisions:

(1) state that the electronic filing system is permissive and specify the legal papers subject to the rule, but in no case shall legal papers prohibited from being filed electronically by this rule be permitted to be filed electronically;

(2) provide for the procedures to ensure that any party who declines to participate in the system, or who is unable to file or accept service of legal papers which were filed electronically, or who is otherwise unable to access the system, at a minimum, shall be able to file legal papers in a physical paper format and be served legal papers in a physical format which were electronically filed; and

(3) any additional provisions as the court may deem necessary to provide a full and complete procedure for the use of the system within the judicial district.

(C) As used in this rule, the following words shall have the following meanings:

“electronic filing,” the electronic submission of legal papers by means other than facsimile transmission and the acceptance of the document by the clerk of courts;

“filing party,” an attorney, defendant, or other person who files a legal paper by means of electronic filing;

“legal paper,” a pleading or other submission to the court, including motions, answers, notices, or other documents, of which filing is required or permitted, including orders, exhibits, and attachments, but excluding

(1) applications for search warrants,

(2) applications for arrest warrants,

(3) any grand jury materials, except the indicting grand jury indictment or the investigating grand jury presentment,

(4) submissions filed *ex parte* as authorized by law, and

(5) submissions filed or authorized to be filed under seal;

“original document,” a legal paper filed electronically shall be deemed the original document, but copies of exhibits electronically filed do not constitute the original of the exhibit for evidentiary purposes; and

“the system,” the PACFile electronic filing system, developed and administered by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, is the exclusive system for electronic filing.

(D) Participation

(1) The system shall permit attorneys and defendants proceeding without counsel to file electronically.

(a) In order to participate in the system, an attorney shall establish an account in the system by procedures established by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

(b) A defendant who is proceeding without counsel shall be permitted to utilize the system through an authorization process established by the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

(2) Establishment of an account by an attorney or authorization by a defendant proceeding without counsel in the system shall constitute consent to participate in electronic filing, including acceptance of service electronically of any document filed on the system in any judicial district that permits electronic filing.

(3) An attorney or defendant participating in the system is permitted to file legal paper papers in either an electronic format or in a physical paper format. Service upon an attorney or defendant participating in the system shall be done electronically.

(E) Filing

(1) When a legal paper is to be electronically filed, it shall be submitted to the system at the UJS web portal at <http://ujportal.pacourts.us>, in accordance with this rule, any local rule adopted pursuant to this rule, and any filing instructions as may be otherwise provided at the web portal site.

(2) Electronic filings may be submitted at any time, except during times of periodic maintenance. The electronic submission must be completed by 11:59:59 p.m. EST/EDT to be considered filed that day.

(3) The time and date on which a legal paper is submitted to the system shall be recorded by the system. The system shall provide an acknowledgement to the filing party and shall permit the filing party to select other parties to the case who are participating in electronic filing to receive notice that the legal paper has been submitted, including the date and time of submission, in a form that can be printed. Parties who are not participating in the system shall be served with a copy of the acknowledgement by mail.

(4) The time and date on which the legal paper is accepted by the clerk of courts office also shall be recorded by the system. The system shall provide an acknowledgement to the filing party and other parties to the case who are participating in electronic filing to receive notice that the legal paper has been accepted for filing, including the date and time of acceptance, in a form that can be printed. Parties who are not participating in the system shall be served with a copy of the acknowledgement by mail.

(5) A legal paper shall be considered filed upon submission of the legal paper to the system and acceptance of the filing by the clerk of courts. If the clerk of courts determines that the requirements for filing have been met, the time and date of filing shall be the time and date that the legal paper was submitted to the system. If the clerk of courts finds that the requirements for filing are not met, the clerk may reject the filing.

(6) A filing party shall be responsible for any delay, disruption, and interruption of the electronic signals and legibility of the document electronically filed, except when caused by the failure of the system's website.

(7) The system shall attribute the filing of an electronic legal paper to the party whose account is used to log onto the system and file the legal paper.

(8) Legal papers shall be presented for filing in portable document format (".pdf").

(9) All legal papers electronically filed shall be maintained and retained by the clerk of courts in an electronic format. Neither the clerk of courts nor the court is required to maintain in a physical paper format any legal paper filed electronically as provided in this rule.

(10) Any legal paper submitted for filing to the clerk of courts in a physical paper format shall be accepted by the clerk of courts in that format and shall be retained by the clerk of courts as may be required by applicable rules of court and record retention policies. The clerk of courts shall convert such legal paper in a physical paper format to .pdf and added to the system. However those submissions which are excluded from the definition of "legal paper" under paragraph (C) shall not be converted and added to the system.

(11) No legal paper that complies with the Pennsylvania Rules of Criminal Procedure shall be refused for filing by the clerk of courts or the electronic filing system based upon a requirement of a local rule or local administrative procedure or practice pertaining to the electronic filing of legal papers.

(F) Signature

(1) Except as provided in paragraph (F)(3), an electronic signature of the filer as provided for in the system is permitted on electronic filings in the following form: *John L. Doe*.

(2) The electronic filing of a motion or answer that includes an electronic signature constitutes a certification

pursuant to Pa.R.Crim.P. 575 that the filing party or attorney has read the legal paper, that to the best of the filing party's or attorney's knowledge, information and belief there is good ground to support the motion or answer, and that it is not interposed for delay.

(3) Any motion that avers facts not of record and requiring a sworn affidavit must be created in a physical paper form, a physical signature placed on it, and then converted into a .pdf before it may be electronically filed.

(4) The original of a sworn or verified legal paper that is an electronic filing or is contained within an electronic filing shall be maintained by the electronic filer and made available upon direction of the court or reasonable request of the signatory or opposing party.

(G) The court by local rule shall provide for the maintenance by the clerk of courts of an electronic file only, or of such electronic and physical paper format files as set forth in the local rule. Those legal papers that are not permitted to be electronically filed pursuant to paragraph (C) shall be maintained in a physical paper format only.

(H) Service

(1) The notification of acceptance of an electronic filing to the system as provided in paragraph (E)(4) shall satisfy the service requirements of Rules 114(B) and 576(B) on any attorney or party who has established a system account.

(2) Service of electronic filings on any attorney or party who has not established a UJS web portal account or who is unable to file or receive legal papers electronically or otherwise unable to access the system shall be made by the procedures provided under Rules 114(B) and 576(B).

Comment

This rule, adopted in 2016, permits as a local practice the electronic filing of legal papers. This rule does not require the implementation of electronic filing by a local court. To provide a uniform system for electronic filing, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts has developed the PACFile electronic filing system. This is the only authorized system for electronic filing of legal documents in criminal court cases in the courts of common pleas and Philadelphia Municipal Court.

This rule is applicable to cases in courts of record. See Rule 103 for the definition of a "court."

The UJS Portal contains other automated services beside PACFile. There may be circumstances when an attorney, who has registered as a user on another service of the UJS Portal may have an established account that would be usable for PACFile. Any question about the requirements of registration or accessibility to PACFile should be referred to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts.

The system permits a user to designate other users as proxies on individual cases. These proxies all receive notice of any filing in the case. It is anticipated that offices such as those of a district attorney or public defender would be able to establish general user accounts with particular attorneys assigned and their supervisors or back-ups listed as proxies in individual cases.

An attorney is responsible for the actions of other individuals whom the attorney authorizes to use the attorney's account.

The local rule required by this rule must conform to the requirements of Rule 105.

A file in physical paper format is not required by this rule. If the local rule requires a file in physical paper format, the requirement may extend to all cases or only to certain specified cases. For example, the court may require files in physical paper format for cases listed for trial or scheduled for argument while maintaining only electronic files for all other cases.

Upon the electronic filing of a legal paper, the electronic filing system shall automatically send notice of the filing to all parties who have agreed to service by electronic transmission or whose e-mail address is included on an appearance or prior legal paper filed in connection with the case. If the electronic filing system sends notice of such filing, the party filing the legal paper only need serve those parties who are not served by the electronic filing system. An electronic mail address set forth on letterhead is not a sufficient basis under this rule to permit electronic service of legal papers.

Nothing in this rule is intended to prohibit the use of advanced communication technology to submit an application for search warrant as provided in Rule 203(A) or to submit an application for an arrest warrant using advanced communications technology as provided in Rule 513(B)(1).

In addition to the filing fees now applicable, an online payment convenience fee for use of the PACFile system may be imposed. *See* 204 Pa. Code § 207.3.

See Rule 114(B) providing for the clerk of courts to serve orders and court notices by facsimile transmission or other means.

Legal papers filed electronically should be consistent with the formatting requirements of Rule 575(C).

See Rule 576(B) governing service of motions and any written answers, and any notices or documents for which filing is required by facsimile transmission or other means.

See Rule 1002, for the applicability of this rule to summary cases filed in the Philadelphia Municipal Court.

Official Note: New Rule 576.1 adopted , 2016, effective , 2016.

Committee Explanatory Reports:

Report explaining proposed new Rule 576.1 providing for electronic filing published for comment at 46 Pa.B. 1647 (April 2, 2016).

REPORT

Proposed new Pa.R.Crim.P. 576.1 and Proposed amendment of Pa.Rs.Crim.P. 113, 114, and 576

Electronic Filing and Service of Legal Papers

Background

For the past several years, the Committee has been investigating the issue of electronic filing. This began in 2012 when the First Judicial District (FJD) approached the Committee requesting rule changes that would permit the electronic filing of pleadings in criminal cases as a local option. This was part of an initiative to implement an electronic filing system in criminal cases similar to the e-filing system already in place in Philadelphia for civil and orphans' court cases. The Committee believes that the goal of implementing electronic filing is a good one. The Committee concluded that the best approach would be to have practical experience in how such a system

would work in practice before recommending statewide rule changes to the Court. This led to the establishment of an electronic filing pilot project in Philadelphia that has been ongoing since February 2013.

Given the success of that program, the Committee agreed to develop rule changes that would permit electronic filing, as a local option, throughout the Commonwealth. The Committee formed a subcommittee to develop the details of these rule changes. In addition to members of the Criminal Rules Committee, the subcommittee included representatives of the FJD and of the IT and Legal departments of the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC). During the subcommittee's discussion, it was learned that AOPC was working on a statewide electronic filing system based on the e-filing system used in the Appellate Courts, the PACFile system. The tentative time frame for the roll-out of the system to criminal cases in the courts of common pleas is the end of 2016.¹ It was therefore decided that any proposed electronic filing rule should be based on this statewide system rather than any locally-developed systems.

Proposed New Rule 576.1

As an initial matter, the Committee agreed that electronic filing should not be required in every judicial district but rather available as a local option. The Committee also concluded that those judicial districts that wish to participate in electronic filing should be required to create a local rule permitting electronic filing within the judicial district as was done in the Philadelphia pilot project.² This latter provision would permit the Committee to retain some oversight of the process by the local rule review process contained in Rule 105.

The main provisions for e-filing would be contained in new Criminal Rule 576.1. The basic statement of authority for establishing local e-filing is contained in paragraph (A). Paragraph (B) would list the contents of this required local rule. Paragraph (C) is a definitional section. Paragraph (D) provides the procedures for establishing participation in the system. Paragraph (E) discusses the filing and receipt of documents. Paragraph (F) contains provisions regarding electronic signatures. Paragraph (G) would permit, with some exceptions, paperless files and paragraph (H) contains service provisions.

Paragraph (A) of proposed new Rule 576.1 provides the general scope of the procedures, including the statements that use of the system is voluntary and that cases in the Philadelphia Municipal Court would be included in the electronic filing system.

One of the issues that the Committee had examined at great length was the question of whether the statewide rules should provide that use of the system was strictly voluntary or should permit a local court to make it mandatory if desired. There was a concern about exactly how the "mandatoriness" could be enforced. Making e-filing mandatory could result in an otherwise appropriate filing being refused because it was not presented in electronic form. After considerable debate, the Committee agreed that the proposed rule initially should not permit a local court to make electronic filing mandatory but to recommend inclusion of a "sunset provision" that would enable revisiting the issue after more information and experience has been obtained.

¹ AOPC also indicated that the e-filing capability soon would be available for juvenile cases.

² This local rule, Philadelphia Criminal Rule 576, may be found at: <http://www.pacourts.us/assets/opinions/Supreme/out/424crim-attach.pdf>

Legal Papers

Paragraph (C) of Rule 576.1 contains definitions of various terms used in the rule. One of these is a definition of “original document” that would include the electronically filed version of the document as the original. However, the definition would make it clear that electronic copies of exhibits would not be considered originals.

Also included in paragraph (C) is a definition of “legal paper” that broadly defines the types of documents that may be filed electronically. There was the discussion of how to handle those documents that are physically filed because the party does not have the ability or willingness to file electronically even though the documents are permitted to be filed electronically and other parties to the case may have agreed to e-filing. As is practiced in the Philadelphia pilot project, such physical documents are accepted and then scanned into the system by the Clerk of Courts Office. Even after uploading these converted documents into the system, the physical documents are retained. The Committee agreed that this same process should be retained, at least for the present. These provisions are contained in paragraph (E)(10). The rule provides that any physically filed document also must be converted to an electronic form and added to the electronic case file.

The definition of “legal paper” also lists the types of documents that are excluded from electronic filing. If a document is excluded from being filed electronically, it would have to be physically filed and the physical document maintained in the case file. While the new rule does contemplate that most legal papers that are filed in a physical paper format may be scanned into the system for the convenience of the user, those documents excluded from the definition of legal papers may not be scanned into the system. This is because many of these excluded documents involve confidentiality issues and placement of them onto the system might result in them becoming public records.

Participation

Paragraph (D) describes how participation in e-filing is to be initiated. Participation would require that a user establish an account in the PACFile system. The establishment of an account would constitute consent to participate in e-filing including receipt of service of filed documents. This would be a blanket consent for all cases in which that party was participating, including cases in any jurisdiction in which electronic filing is permitted. For *pro se* defendants, there is a requirement for an authorization process based on a similar provision contained in the Court Order for electronic filing in the appellate courts.³

There was a question of whether the rules should allow that participation can be accepted for an office, such as the district attorney’s office or public defender, as a whole. The concern was that there may be turn-over or reassignment and, if only the individual attorney was listed on the case, it could fall through the cracks. It was noted that the PACFile system currently permits in appellate cases filing by a named office with specific individuals associated with the office listed as the main filer as well as others being able to be listed as proxies. The Committee believes that this capability should be

utilized in trial court cases, especially since it permitted an office to have multiple proxies listed who all would receive notice of a filing and could serve as back-up. However, the Committee concluded that this was more of an administrative matter and rather than a rule change a reference to this capability should be added to the Comment.

While the rules provide that participation in electronic filing is voluntary, the Committee also discussed whether a party who has agreed to participate in electronic filing should be permitted to file legal papers in a physical paper format. The Committee concluded that, at least initially, this should be permitted since this is a new practice and a filing should not be refused solely because it is not done electronically. However, any party that agrees to participate in electronic filing must accept service electronically.

Filing and Receipt

Paragraph (E) contains the provisions related to the filing and receipt of legal papers. The Committee struggled with the question of what constitutes the actual “filing” of a document submitted electronically. This arose in the context of how a filing that is incorrect for some reason, such as improper docket number, would be handled. The main problem arises from the way documents are placed into the PACFile system. A user will submit a document by uploading it onto the system website. The filing office will receive notice that this has occurred. The filing office must then affirmatively accept the document to be considered filed on the PACFile system. Depending on how busy the filing office is, there may be some delay between having the document uploaded onto the system and then accepted as filed. Also, there may be occasions when a filing might be rejected or sent back to the filer for correction, for example, when it is uploaded to an incorrect docket or the required filing fees have not been paid by a non-indigent defendant.

It was noted that even in traditional paper filings, there may be occasions when a document is not accepted immediately for filing for similar reasons. In current practice, this is not a large problem because the document is date-stamped upon presentation at the clerk of courts’ office, problems can be corrected quickly or, if not, the time and date of presentation can be used as the time of filing once any dispute regarding the document has been resolved.

The Committee concluded that a similar process could be applied to electronic filing. The electronic filing system will record the time that a document was submitted as well as the time when the document is accepted by the filing office. Notice may be sent to parties selected by the filer when the document is submitted. Notice also may be served on selected parties when the document has been accepted. Therefore, the definition for “filing,” contained in paragraph (E)(5), states that filing occurs when the acceptance is made but that once accepted, the time and date of filing shall relate back to the time of submission. However, if the legal paper does not meet the requirements for filing, such as not having the correct docket number or not having paid the applicable filing fee, the filing may be rejected in the same manner in which a physical paper filing may be rejected. In this situation, the time and date of original submission still would be recorded in case there is a dispute regarding whether the document should have been accepted upon submission.

³ Although PACFile currently is in use by the appellate courts, the Appellate Court Procedural Rules have not been amended to reflect that usage. Instead a Supreme Court Order provides the procedures.

Signatures

Included in paragraph (F)(2) is a provision for motions and answers that specifically states that an electronic filing containing an electronic signature constitutes the certification required under Rule 576 that the filer “has read the document and to the best of their knowledge, information and belief, there is good ground to support the motion or answer, and that it is not interposed for delay.”

The Committee generally agreed with the allowance of electronic signatures as being sufficient for the filing of most legal papers. However, a concern was raised regarding motions filed pursuant to 575(A)(1)(g) that aver facts not of record. These motions must be supported by a sworn affidavit averring the facts presented. Given potential consequences for the fraudulent filing of such documents, the Committee concluded that the best way for them to be handled would be by requiring the physical document to be prepared and signed and then scanned into the system for filing. Language to this effect is included in a paragraph (F)(3).

Philadelphia Municipal Court

The PACFile system will include Philadelphia Municipal Court non-summary and summary cases. Therefore, cases in the Municipal Court are included in paragraph (A) of new Rule 576.1.⁴

Other Rule Changes

Finally, the proposal contains correlative changes to several other criminal rules. Rule 113 (Criminal Case File and Docket Entries) would be amended to permit a “paperless” case file in those counties that permit electronic filing. Additionally, Rule 114 (Orders and Court Notices: Filing; Service; and Docket Entries) would be amended to recognize electronic service of court orders and notices for those parties who are participating in the e-filing system. Rule 576 (Filing and Service by Parties) would recognize e-filing pursuant to Rule 576.1.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 16-551. Filed for public inspection April 1, 2016, 9:00 a.m.]

DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT

Notice of Suspension

Notice is hereby given that by Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania dated March 18, 2016, LeRoy Nathaniel Strickland (# 29498) whose registered address is 849 NW 3rd Street, Webster, FL, is Suspended from the Bar of this Commonwealth for a period of one year retroactive to November 6, 2014. In accordance with Rule 217(f), Pa.R.D.E., since this formerly admitted attorney resides outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, this notice is published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

MARCEE D. SLOAN,
Assistant Secretary
The Disciplinary Board of the
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 16-552. Filed for public inspection April 1, 2016, 9:00 a.m.]

⁴ Electronic filing capabilities for magisterial district judge offices currently are not intended to be part of the extension of the PACFile system but may be incorporated into the Magisterial District Judge System at a later date.

SUPREME COURT

Extension of Temporary Assignment of Judges of Philadelphia Municipal Court to the Court of Common Pleas of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania; No. 336 Common Pleas Judicial Classification Doc.

Order

Per Curiam:

And Now, this 4th day of March, 2016, the Petitions of Sheila Woods-Skipper, President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania, for the extension of temporary assignment of Judges of Philadelphia Municipal Court to the Court of Common Pleas of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania are hereby granted, as follows:

Hon. Frank T. Brady
Hon. Martin S. Coleman
Hon. James M. DeLeon
Hon. Teresa Carr Deni
Hon. Patrick F. Dugan
Hon. Joyce O. Eubanks
Hon. Jacquelyn Frazier-Lyde
Hon. Thomas F. Gehret
Hon. Barbara S. Gilbert
Hon. Charles Hayden
Hon. Nazario Jimenez, Jr.
Hon. Lydia Y. Kirkland
Hon. Gerard A. Kosinski
Hon. Henry Lewandowski III
Hon. William A. Meehan, Jr.
Hon. Bradley K. Moss
Hon. Marsha H. Neifield
Hon. Wendy L. Pew
Hon. Harvey W. Robbins
Hon. T. Francis Shields
Hon. David C. Shuter
Hon. Karen Y. Simmons
Hon. Craig M. Washington
Hon. Marvin L. Williams

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 16-553. Filed for public inspection April 1, 2016, 9:00 a.m.]

Temporary Assignment of Judges of Philadelphia Municipal Court to the Court of Common Pleas of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania; No. 335 Common Pleas Judicial Classification Doc.

Order

Per Curiam:

And Now, this 4th day of March, 2016, the Petitions of Sheila Woods-Skipper, President Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania, for the temporary assignment of Judges of Philadelphia Municipal Court to the Court of Common Pleas of the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania are hereby granted, as follows:

Hon. Christine M. Hope
Hon. Sharon Williams Losier
Hon. Joffie C. Pittman

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 16-554. Filed for public inspection April 1, 2016, 9:00 a.m.]