

THE COURTS

Title 210—APPELLATE PROCEDURE

PART I. RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

[210 PA. CODE CH. 19]

Amendment of Rules 1921, 1926, 1931, 1951 and 1952 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure; No. 227 Appellate Procedural Rules Doc.

Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 9th day of May, 2013, upon the recommendation of the Appellate Court Procedural Rules Committee; the proposal having been published for public comment at 40 Pa.B. 2741 (May 29, 2010) and revised and republished at 42 Pa.B. 1986 (April 14, 2012):

It Is Ordered pursuant to Article V, Section 10 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania that Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure 1921, 1926, 1931, 1951 and 1952 are amended in the following form.

This *Order* shall be processed in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. No. 103(b), and the amendments herein shall be effective to appeals and petitions for review filed 30 days after adoption.

Annex A

TITLE 210. APPELLATE PROCEDURE

PART I. RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

ARTICLE II. APPELLATE PROCEDURE

CHAPTER 19. PREPARATION AND TRANSMISSION OF RECORD AND RELATED MATTERS

RECORD ON APPEAL FROM LOWER COURT

Rule 1921. Composition of Record on Appeal.

The original papers and exhibits filed in the lower court, [**hard**] paper copies of legal papers filed with the prothonotary by means of electronic filing, the transcript of proceedings, if any, and a certified copy of the docket entries prepared by the clerk of the lower court shall constitute the record on appeal in all cases.

Official Note: [The rule is intended as a codification of present practice.] An appellate court may consider only the facts which have been duly certified in the record on appeal. *Commonwealth v. Young*, 456 Pa. 102, 115, 317 A.2d 258, 264 (1974). All involved in the appellate process have a duty to take steps necessary to assure that the appellate court has a complete record on appeal, so that the appellate court has the materials necessary to review the issues raised on appeal. Ultimate responsibility for a complete record rests with the party raising an issue that requires appellate court access to record materials. See, e.g., *Commonwealth v. Williams*, 552 Pa.

451, 460, 715 A.2d 1101, 1106 (1998) (addressing obligation of appellant to purchase transcript and ensure its transmission to the appellate court). Rule 1931 (c) and (f) afford a “safe harbor” from waiver of issues based on an incomplete record. Parties may rely on the list of documents transmitted to the appellate court and served on the parties. If the list shows that the record transmitted is incomplete, the parties have an obligation to supplement the record pursuant to Rule 1926 (correction or modification of the record) or other mechanisms in Chapter 19. If the list shows that the record transmitted is complete, but it is not, the omission shall not be a basis for the appellate court to find waiver. This principle is consistent with the Supreme Court’s determination in *Commonwealth v. Brown*, ___ Pa. ___, 52 A.3d 1139, 1145 n.4 (2012) that where the accuracy of a pertinent document is undisputed, the Court could consider that document if it was in the Reproduced Record, even though it was not in the record that had been transmitted to the Court. Further, if the appellate court determines that something in the original record or otherwise presented to the trial court is necessary to decide the case and is not included in the certified record, the appellate court may, upon notice to the parties, request it from the trial court *sua sponte* and supplement the certified record following receipt of the missing item. See Rule 1926 (correction or modification of the record).

[Explanatory Comment—2008

Pa.R.C.P. No. 205.4(a)(1) authorizes a court by local rule to permit or require electronic filing of legal papers with the prothonotary. Therefore, the amendment to Rule 1921 provides that where such electronic filing is utilized, hard copies of legal papers electronically filed shall become part of the record on appeal.]

Rule 1926. Correction or Modification of the Record.

[If any difference arises as to whether the record truly discloses what occurred in the lower court, the difference shall be submitted to and settled by that court after notice to the parties and opportunity for objection, and the record made to conform to the truth. If anything material to either party is omitted from the record by error or accident or is misstated therein, the parties by stipulation, or the lower court either before or after the record is transmitted to the appellate court, or the appellate court, on proper suggestion or of its own initiative, may direct that the omission or misstatement be corrected, and if necessary that a supplemental record be certified and transmitted. All other questions as to the form and content of the record shall be presented to the appellate court.

Official Note: Based on former Supreme Court Rule 63 and former Superior Court Rule 54. This rule is intended to close a gap in the prior practice whereby the lower court could not correct an error

discovered in writing an opinion under Rule 1925 (opinion in support of order). This rule does not enlarge the power of the lower court to rewrite the record but, together with Rule 1922(c) (certification and filing), merely postpones the reading and correction by the trial judge of an unobjected to transcript (except for the charge to the jury in criminal proceedings) from the transcription stage to the opinion writing stage, so as to conform to actual practice.]

(a) If any difference arises as to whether the record truly discloses what occurred in the trial court, the difference shall be submitted to and settled by that court after notice to the parties and opportunity for objection, and the record made to conform to the truth.

(b) If anything material to a party is omitted from the record by error, breakdown in processes of the court, or accident or is misstated therein, the omission or misstatement may be corrected by the following means:

(1) by the trial court or the appellate court upon application or on its own initiative at any time; in the event of correction or modification by the trial court, that court shall direct that a supplemental record be certified and transmitted if necessary; or

(2) by the parties by stipulation filed in the trial court, in which case, if the trial court clerk has already certified the record, the parties shall file in the appellate court a copy of any stipulation filed pursuant to this rule, and the trial court clerk shall certify and transmit as a supplemental record the materials described in the stipulation.

(c) The trial court clerk shall transmit any supplemental record required by this rule within 14 days of the order or stipulation that requires it.

(d) All other questions as to the form and content of the record shall be presented to the appellate court.

Official Note: The stipulation described in this rule need not be approved by the trial court or the appellate court, but both courts retain the authority to strike any stipulation that does not correct an omission or misstatement in the record.

Rule 1931. Transmission of the Record.

* * * * *

(c) *Duty of clerk to transmit the record.*—When the record is complete for purposes of the appeal, the clerk of the lower court shall transmit it to the prothonotary of the appellate court. The clerk of the lower court shall number the documents comprising the record and shall transmit with the record a list of the documents correspondingly numbered and identified with [reasonable definiteness] sufficient specificity to allow the parties on appeal to identify each document and to determine whether the record on appeal is complete. Documents of unusual bulk or weight and physical exhibits other than documents shall not be transmitted by the clerk unless he or she is directed to do so by a party or by the prothonotary of the appellate court. A party must make advance arrangements with the clerk for the transportation and receipt of exhibits of unusual

bulk or weight. Transmission of the record is effected when the clerk of the lower court mails or otherwise forwards the record to the prothonotary of the appellate court. The clerk of the lower court shall indicate, by endorsement on the face of the record or otherwise, the date upon which the record is transmitted to the appellate court.

(d) *Service of the list of record documents.*—The clerk of the lower court shall, at the time of the transmittal of the record to the appellate court, mail a copy of the list of record documents to all counsel of record, or if unrepresented by counsel, to the parties at the address they have provided to the clerk. The clerk shall note on the docket the giving of such notice.

(e) *Multiple appeals.*—Where more than one appeal is taken from the same order, it shall be sufficient to transmit a single record, without duplication.

(f) *Inconsistency between list of record documents and documents actually transmitted.*—If the clerk of the lower court fails to transmit to the appellate court all of the documents identified in the list of record documents, such failure shall be deemed a breakdown in processes of the court. Any omission shall be corrected promptly pursuant to Rule 1926 (correction or modification of the record) and shall not be the basis for any penalty against a party.

Official Note: [Former Supreme Court Rule 22 required the record to be returned forthwith. See also former Superior Court Rule 50 and former Commonwealth Court Rules 22 and 23.] Rule 1926 (correction or modification of the record) provides the means to resolve any disagreement between the parties as to what should be included in the record on appeal.

[Explanatory Comment—2007

The 2007 amendment expands the time period for the trial court to transmit the certified record, including any opinions drafted pursuant to Pa.R.A.P. 1925(a), from forty to sixty days. The appellate court retains the ability to establish a shorter (or longer) period of time for the transmittal of the record in any class or classes of cases.]

RECORD ON PETITION FOR REVIEW OF ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT UNITS OTHER THAN COURTS

Rule 1951. Record below in Proceedings on Petition for Review.

* * * * *

(b) *Omissions from or misstatements of the record below.*—If anything material to any party is omitted from the record or is misstated therein, the parties may at any time supply the omission or correct the misstatement by stipulation, or the court may at any time direct that the omission or misstatement be corrected and, if necessary, that a supplemental record be prepared and filed. **Failure of the agency to transmit part of the record of agency proceedings to the appellate court shall not be the basis for a finding of waiver.**

* * * * *

Rule 1952. Filing of Record in Response to Petition for Review.

(a) *Time and notice.*—Where under the applicable law the question raised by a petition for review may be determined in whole or in part upon the record before the government unit, the government unit shall file the

record with the prothonotary of the court named in the petition for review within 40 days after service upon it of the petition. The court may shorten or extend the time prescribed in this subdivision. The prothonotary shall give notice to all parties of the date on which the record is filed.

(b) *Certificate of record.*—The government unit shall certify the contents of the record **and a list of all documents, transcripts of testimony, exhibits and other material comprising the record.** The government unit shall (1) arrange the documents to be certified in chronological order, (2) number them, and (3) affix to the right or bottom edge of the first page of each document a tab showing the number of that document. These shall be bound and shall contain a table of contents identifying each document in the record. The certificate shall be made by the head, chairman, deputy or secretary of the government unit. The government unit may file the entire record or such parts thereof as the parties may designate by stipulation filed with the government unit. The original papers in the government unit or certified copies thereof may be filed. Instead of filing the record or designated parts thereof, the government unit may file a certified list of all documents, transcripts of testimony, exhibits and other material comprising the record, or a certified list of such parts thereof as the parties may designate, adequately describing each, and the filing of the certified list shall constitute filing of the record. The parties may stipulate that neither the record nor a certified list be filed with the court. The stipulation shall be filed with the prothonotary of the court, and the date of its filing shall be deemed the date on which the record is filed. If a certified list is filed, or if the parties designate only parts of the record for filing or stipulate that neither the record nor a certified list be filed, the government unit shall retain the record or parts thereof. Upon request of the court or the request of a party, the record or any part thereof thus retained shall be transmitted to the court notwithstanding any prior stipulation. All parts of the record retained by the government unit shall be a part of the record on review for all purposes.

(c) *Notice to counsel of contents of certified record.*—At the time of transmission of the record to the appellate court, the government unit shall send a copy of the list of the contents of the certified record to all counsel of record, or, if a party is unrepresented by counsel, to that party at the address provided to the government unit.

Official Note: [Based in part upon former Commonwealth Court Rules 22, 23 and 32A (second sentence). The time within which the record must be certified has been increased from 20 days to 40 days to conform to Rule 1931 (transmission of the record).] The addition of subdivision (c) in 2012 requires government units other than courts to notify counsel of the contents of the certified record. This is an extension of the requirement in Rule 1931 (transmission of the record) that trial courts give such notice.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-946. Filed for public inspection May 24, 2013, 9:00 a.m.]

SUPREME COURT

Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts within the 10th Judicial District; No. 356 Magisterial Rules Doc.

Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 9th day of May, 2013, upon consideration of the Petition to Reestablish the Magisterial Districts of the 10th Judicial District (Westmoreland County) of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, it is hereby *Ordered and Decreed* that the Petition, which provides for the elimination of Magisterial District 10-2-08, within Westmoreland County, to be effective January 1, 2018, is granted; and that the Petition, which provides for the realignment of Magisterial Districts 10-1-01, 10-2-01, 10-2-10, and 10-3-10 within Westmoreland County, to be effective September 1, 2013, is granted; and that the Petition, which also provides for the realignment of Magisterial Districts 10-1-03, 10-2-06, 10-3-08, 10-3-09, and 10-3-11 within Westmoreland County, to be effective January 1, 2018, is granted; and that the Petition, which also provides for the further realignment of Magisterial District 10-2-01, within Westmoreland County, to be effective January 1, 2018, is granted; and that the Petition, which also provides for the reestablishment of Magisterial Districts 10-1-04, 10-1-05, 10-2-03, 10-2-09, 10-3-02, and 10-3-05, within Westmoreland County, to be effective immediately, is granted.

Said Magisterial Districts shall be as follows:

Magisterial District 10-1-01 Magisterial District Judge Joseph R. DeMarchis	City of Jeannette Adamsburg Borough Penn Borough Hempfield Township (Voting Districts Alwine, East Adamsburg, Grapeville, High Park, Valley, Wegley, and West Hempfield)
Magisterial District 10-1-03 Magisterial District Judge Joseph A. Dalfonso	City of Monessen North Belle Vernon Borough Rostraver Township (Voting Districts Pricedale & Webster)
Magisterial District 10-1-04 Magisterial District Judge Cheryl J. Peck-Yakopec	City of Lower Burrell East Vandergrift Borough Hyde Park Borough Oklahoma Borough Vandergrift Borough West Leechburg Borough Allegheny Township Upper Burrell Township
Magisterial District 10-1-05 Magisterial District Judge Frank J. Pallone, Jr.	City of Arnold City of New Kensington

Magisterial District 10-2-01 Magisterial District Judge James N. Falcon	South Greensburg Borough Southwest Greensburg Borough Hempfield Township (Voting Districts Bovard, Eastview, Foxhill, Hannastown, Luxor, Maplewood, Todd, University, Weaver's Old Stand, West Point) Unity Township (Voting Districts Crabtree, Dennison, Kuhns, Marguerite, and Mutual) Youngwood Borough	Magisterial District 10-3-09 Magisterial District Judge Denise Snyder Thiel	Bolivar Borough Laurel Mountain Borough Ligonier Borough New Florence Borough Seward Borough Youngstown Borough Cook Township Fairfield Township Ligonier Township St. Clair Township Unity Township (Voting Districts Baggaley, Beatty, Dorothy, Gravel Hill, Lloydsville, Pleasant Unity, Roble, and Whitney)
Magisterial District 10-2-03 Magisterial District Judge Helen M. Kistler	Manor Borough Trafford Borough Penn Township	Magisterial District 10-3-10 Magisterial District Judge Charles D. Moore	Hunker Borough New Stanton Borough Scottdale Borough East Huntingdon Township Hempfield Township (Voting District New Stanton) South Huntingdon Township (Voting Districts Hixon, Jacobs Creek, Mineral, Port Royal, South Huntingdon, Wyano, and Yukon)
Magisterial District 10-2-06 Magisterial District Judge Charles M. Christner, Jr.	Madison Borough Smithton Borough Sutersville Borough West Newton Borough Rostraver Township (Voting Districts Collinsburg, Concord, Crossroads, Fellsburg, Lynnwood, and Van Meter) Sewickley Township South Huntingdon Township (Voting District Wayne)	Magisterial District 10-3-11 Magisterial District Judge Roger F. Eckels	Donegal Borough Mt. Pleasant Borough Donegal Township Mt. Pleasant Township
Magisterial District 10-2-09 Magisterial District Judge Douglas R. Weimer, Jr.	Irwin Borough North Irwin Borough North Huntingdon Township	[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-947. Filed for public inspection May 24, 2013, 9:00 a.m.]	
Magisterial District 10-2-10 Magisterial District Judge James E. Albert	City of Greensburg		
Magisterial District 10-3-01 Magisterial District Judge Mark S. Mansour	Arona Borough Hempfield Township (Voting Districts Carbon, Fort Allen, Gayville, Haydenville, Lincoln Heights East, Lincoln Heights West, Middletown, North Carbon, Sibel, and Wendel-Herminie)		
Magisterial District 10-3-02 Magisterial District Judge Charles R. Conway	Delmont Borough Export Borough Municipality of Murrysville Salem Township (Voting District New Salem)		
Magisterial District 10-3-05 Magisterial District Judge Jason Buczak	Avonmore Borough Bell Township Loyalhanna Township Salem Township (Voting Districts Five Points, Hugus, Mechlings, Slickville, Steeles, and Trees Mills) Washington Township		
Magisterial District 10-3-08 Magisterial District Judge Mark J. Bilik	Derry Borough Latrobe Borough New Alexandria Borough Derry Township		

Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts within the 12th Judicial District; No. 354 Magisterial Rules Doc.

Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 9th day of May, 2013, upon consideration of the Petition to Reestablish the Magisterial Districts of the 12th Judicial District (Dauphin County) of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, it is hereby *Ordered and Decreed* that the Petition, which provides for the reestablishment of 12-1-01, 12-1-02, 12-1-04, 12-1-05, 12-1-06, 12-2-01, 12-2-02, 12-2-03, 12-2-04, 12-2-05, 12-3-01, 12-3-02, 12-3-03, 12-3-04 and 12-3-05, within Dauphin County, to be effective immediately, is granted.

Said Magisterial Districts shall be reestablished as follows:

Magisterial District 12-1-01 Magisterial District Judge James A. Lenker	Penbrook Borough Susquehanna Township
Magisterial District 12-1-02 Magisterial District Judge Barbara Pianka	City of Harrisburg (Wards 5, 6, 10-1, 10-3, 11, 12, and 14)
Magisterial District 12-1-04 Magisterial District Judge Marsha C. Stewart	City of Harrisburg (Wards 3, 4, 8, and 9-1)

Magisterial District 12-1-05 City of Harrisburg (Wards
Magisterial District Judge 9-2, 9-3, 9-4, 9-5, and 13)
George A. Zozos

Magisterial District 12-1-06 Lower Paxton Township
Magisterial District Judge (Precincts 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10,
Joseph S. Lindsey 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 22, 23,
26, and 27)

Magisterial District 12-2-01 Paxtang Borough
Magisterial District Judge Lower Swatara Township
Michael John Smith Swatara Township (Wards
2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9)

Magisterial District 12-2-02 Highspire Borough
Magisterial District Judge Steelton Borough
Kenneth A. Lenker Swatara Township (Wards 1
& 3)

Magisterial District 12-2-03 Middletown Borough
Magisterial District Judge Royalton Borough
David H. Judy Conewago Township
Londonderry Township

Magisterial District 12-2-04 City of Harrisburg (Wards
Magisterial District Judge 7, 10-2, 10-4, and 15)
Robert Jennings, III

Magisterial District 12-2-05 City of Harrisburg (Wards
Magisterial District Judge 1, 2-1, and 2-2)
LaVon A. Postelle

Magisterial District 12-3-01 Berrysburg Borough
Magisterial District Judge Elizabethville Borough
Rebecca Jo Margerum Gratz Borough
Lykens Borough
Millersburg Borough
Pillow Borough
Williamstown Borough
Lykens Township
Mifflin Township
Upper Paxton Township
Washington Township
Wiconisco Township
Williams Township

Magisterial District 12-3-02 Dauphin Borough
Magisterial District Judge Halifax Borough
Gregory D. Johnson Halifax Township
Jackson Township
Jefferson Township
Middle Paxton Township
Reed Township
Rush Township
Wayne Township

Magisterial District 12-3-03 Lower Paxton Township
Magisterial District Judge (Precincts 2, 4, 7, 9, 11,
William C. Wenner 12, 16, 19, 20, 21, 24, and
25)

Magisterial District 12-3-04 Hummelstown Borough
Magisterial District Judge Derry Township
Dominic A. Pelino

Magisterial District 12-3-05 East Hanover Township
Magisterial District Judge South Hanover Township
Lowell A. Witmer West Hanover Township

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-948. Filed for public inspection May 24, 2013, 9:00 a.m.]

Reestablishment of the Magisterial Districts within the 38th Judicial District; No. 355 Magisterial Rules Doc.

Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 9th day of May 2013, upon consideration of the Petition to Reestablish the Magisterial Districts of the 38th Judicial District (Montgomery County) of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, it is hereby *Ordered and Decreed* that the Petition, which provides for the elimination of Magisterial District 38-1-17, within Montgomery County, to be effective January 1, 2015, is granted; and that the Petition, which provides for the creation of a new magisterial district effective January 4, 2016, is granted; and that the Petition, which provides for the realignment of Magisterial Districts 38-1-06, 38-1-07, 38-1-11, 38-1-12, 38-1-14, 38-1-22, 38-2-03, and 38-2-04 within Montgomery County, to be effective September 1, 2013, is granted; and that the Petition, which provides for the realignment of Magisterial Districts 38-1-24 and 38-1-28, effective January 1, 2015, is granted; and that the Petition, which also provides for the realignment of Magisterial Districts 38-1-15 and 38-1-16, effective January 4, 2016, is granted; and that the Petition, which also provides for the reestablishment of Magisterial Districts 38-1-01, 38-1-02, 38-1-03, 38-1-04, 38-1-05, 38-1-08, 38-1-09, 38-1-10, 38-1-13, 38-1-18, 38-1-19, 38-1-20, 38-1-21, 38-1-23, 38-1-25, 38-2-02, and 38-2-08 within Montgomery County, to be effective immediately, is granted.

Said Magisterial Districts shall be as follows:

Magisterial District 38-1-01	East Norriton Township
Magisterial District Judge	West Norriton Township
	Ester J. Casillo
Magisterial District 38-1-02	Jenkintown Borough
Magisterial District Judge	Cheltenham Township
	(Voting Districts 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 7-1, 7-2, and 7-3)
Magisterial District 38-1-03	Cheltenham Township
Magisterial District Judge	(Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, and 4-4)
	Christopher J. Cerski
Magisterial District 38-1-04	Rockledge Borough
Magisterial District Judge	Abington Township (Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 2-1, 2-2, 5-1, 5-2, 8-1, 8-2, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 11-2, 14-1, 14-2, 15-1, and 15-2)
	John D. Kessler
Magisterial District 38-1-05	Abington Township (Voting Districts 2-3, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 4-1, 4-2, 6-1, 6-2, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 9-1, 9-2, 11-1, 11-3, 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, 13-1, 13-2, and 13-3)
Magisterial District Judge	Juanita A. Price
Magisterial District 38-1-06	Lower Merion Township
Magisterial District Judge	(Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 3-2, 3-3, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 10-3, 11-2, 11-2B, and 11-3)
	Henry J. Schireson

Magisterial District 38-1-07 Magisterial District Judge Kathleen M. Valentine	Narberth Borough Lower Merion Township (Voting Districts 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 8-2, 8-4, 10-1, 10-2, and 11-1)	Magisterial District 38-1-21 Magisterial District Judge Robert M. Sobeck	North Wales Borough Lower Gwynedd Township Upper Gwynedd Township Whitpain Township
Magisterial District 38-1-08 Magisterial District Judge Katherine E. McGill Magid	Springfield Township	Magisterial District 38-1-22 Magisterial District Judge Harry J. Nesbitt, III	Horsham Township (Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 3-2, 3-5, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, and 4-4)
Magisterial District 38-1-09 Magisterial District Judge William I. Maruszczak	West Conshohocken Borough Upper Merion Township (Voting Districts Gulph 1 & 2, King 1 & 2, Roberts, Swedeland, and Swedesburg)	Magisterial District 38-1-23 Magisterial District Judge Deborah A. Lukens	Conshohocken Borough (Voting Districts 2, 3, 5, and 7) Whitemarsh Township
Magisterial District 38-1-10 Magisterial District Judge Patricia A. Zaffarano	Ambler Borough Upper Dublin Township	Magisterial District 38-1-24 Magisterial District Judge Albert J. Augustine	Schwenksville Borough Souderton Borough Telford Borough Franconia Township Lower Salford Township Perkiomen Township Skippack Township Worcester Township
Magisterial District 38-1-11 Magisterial District Judge Scott T. Palladino	Pottstown Borough (Voting Districts 2-1, 2-2, 3, 4, and 5) West Pottsgrove Township	Magisterial District 38-1-25 Magisterial District Judge James P. Gallagher	Bridgeport Borough Upper Merion Township (Voting Districts Belmont 1-5, and Candlebrook 1 & 2)
Magisterial District 38-1-12 Magisterial District Judge Edward C. Kropp, Sr.	Pottstown Borough (Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 6, 7-1 and 7-2) Lower Pottsgrove Township	Magisterial District 38-1-28 Magisterial District Judge Harold D. Borek	Hatfield Borough Lansdale Borough Hatfield Township (Voting Districts 2-1, 2-1, 3-1, 3-2, 4-1, and 4-4) Towamencin Township
Magisterial District 38-1-13 Magisterial District Judge Francis J. Bernhardt, III	Conshohocken Borough (Voting Districts 1, 4, and 6) Plymouth Township	Magisterial District 38-2-02 Magisterial District Judge Catherine M. Hummel Fried	East Greenville Borough Green Lane Borough Pennsburg Borough Red Hill Borough Marlborough Township Salford Township Upper Hanover Township Upper Salford Township
Magisterial District 38-1-14 Magisterial District Judge Paul N. Leo	Hatboro Borough Horsham Township (Voting Districts 3-3 and 3-4) Upper Moreland Township (Voting Districts 2-1, 2-2, 3-2, 5-1, 5-2, 7-1, and 7-2)	Magisterial District 38-2-03 Magisterial District Judge Maurice H. Saylor	Douglass Township Lower Frederick Township New Hanover Township Upper Frederick Township Upper Pottsgrove Township
Magisterial District 38-1-15 Magisterial District Judge Francis J. Lawrence, Jr.	Norristown Borough (Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3 and 2-1)	Magisterial District 38-2-04 Magisterial District Judge Karen Eisner Zucker	Lower Merion Township (Voting Districts 3-1, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 8-1, 8-3, 9-1, 9-2, 9-3, 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, 13-1, 13-2, 13-3, 14-1, 14-2, and 14-3)
Magisterial District 38-1-16 Magisterial District Judge Margaret A. Hunsicker- Fleischer	Norristown Borough (Voting Districts 2-3, 4-1, 4-2 and 4-3)	Magisterial District 38-2-08 Magisterial District Judge Jay S. Friedenber	Bryn Athyn Borough Lower Moreland Township Upper Moreland Township (Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 3-1, 4-1, 4-2, 6-1, and 6-2)
Magisterial District 38-1-18 Magisterial District Judge Andrea D. Duffy	Hatfield Township (Voting Districts 1-1, 1-2, 5-1, and 5-2) Montgomery Township	Magisterial District (number to be determined) vacant	Norristown Borough (Voting Districts 2-2, 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3)
Magisterial District 38-1-19 Magisterial District Judge Walter F. Gadzicki, Jr.	Royersford Borough Limerick Township Upper Providence Township (Voting Districts Mingo 1 & 2, Mont Clare, and Trappe)		
Magisterial District 38-1-20 Magisterial District Judge Cathleen Kelly Rebar	Collegeville Borough Trappe Borough Lower Providence Township Upper Providence Township (Voting District Oaks)		

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 13-949. Filed for public inspection May 24, 2013, 9:00 a.m.]