### THE COURTS

# Title 231—RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

PART I. GENERAL [231 PA. CODE CH. 1910]

Order Amending Rules 1910.11, 1910.12 and 1910.16-4 of the Rules of Civil Procedure; No. 601 Civil Procedural Rules Doc.

#### Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 2nd day of July, 2014, upon the recommendation of the Domestic Relations Procedural Rules Committee; the proposal having been published for public comment in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, 43 Pa.Bull. 7427 (December 21, 2013):

It Is Ordered pursuant to Article V, Section 10 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania that Rules 1910.11, 1910.12 and 1910.16-4 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure are amended in the following form.

This *Order* shall be processed in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. No. 103(b), and shall be effective in 30 days on August 1, 2014.

#### Annex A

# TITLE 231. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE PART I. GENERAL

#### CHAPTER 1910. ACTIONS FOR SUPPORT

Rule 1910.11. Office Conference. Subsequent Proceedings. Order.

\* \* \* \* \*

(e) At the conclusion of the conference or [ promptly thereafter ] not later than 10 days after the conference, the conference officer shall prepare a conference summary and furnish copies to the court and to both parties. The conference summary shall state:

Rule 1910.12. Office Conference. Hearing. Record. Exceptions. Order.

(d) The hearing officer shall receive evidence, hear argument and, **not later than 20 days after the close of the record,** file with the court a report containing a recommendation with respect to the entry of an order of support. The report may be in narrative form stating the reasons for the recommendation and shall include a proposed order substantially in the form set forth in Rule 1910.27(e) stating:

(1) the amount of support calculated in accordance with the guidelines;

- (2) by and for whom it shall be paid; and
- (3) the effective date of the order.

## [ A copy of the report shall be furnished to all parties at the conclusion of the hearing. ]

(e) The court, without hearing the parties, shall enter an interim order consistent with the proposed order of the hearing officer. Each party shall be provided, either in person at the time of the hearing or by mail, with a copy of the interim order and written notice that any party may, within twenty days after the date of receipt or the date of mailing of the order, whichever occurs first, file with the domestic relations section written exceptions to the report of the hearing officer and interim order.

Rule 1910.16-4. Support Guidelines. Calculation of Support Obligation. Formula.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (d) Divided or Split Physical Custody. When Each Party Has Primary Custody of One or More of the Children. Varied Custodial Schedules.
- (1) Divided or Split Physical Custody. When Each Party Has Primary Custody of One or More of the Children. When calculating a child support obligation, and one or more of the children reside primarily with each party, the court shall offset the parties' respective child support obligations and award the net difference to the obligee as child support. For example, if the parties have three children, one of whom resides with Father and two of whom reside with Mother, and their net monthly incomes are \$2,500 and \$1,250 respectively, Father's child support obligation is calculated as follows. Using the schedule in Rule 1910.16-3 for two children at the parties' combined net monthly income of \$3,750, the amount of basic child support to be apportioned between the parties is \$1,200. As Father's income is 67% of the parties' combined net monthly income, Father's support obligation for the two children living with Mother is \$804. Using the schedule in Rule 1910.16-3 for one child, Mother's support obligation for the child living with Father is \$276. Subtracting \$276 from \$804 produces a net basic support amount of \$528 payable to Mother as child support.
- [(A)] When calculating a combined child support and spousal or alimony pendente lite obligation, and one or more children reside with each party, the court shall[, except as set forth in subdivision (B) below,] offset the obligor's spousal and child support obligation with the obligee's child support obligation and award the net difference to the obligee as spousal and child support.
  [(B)] When one or more of the children resides with each party [ and the obligee's net income is 10% or less of the parties' combined net monthly income,] then, in calculating the spousal support or alimony pendente lite obligation, the court shall deduct from the obligor's income both the support owed for the child or children residing with the obligee, as well as the direct support the obligor provides to the child or children living

with the obligor, calculated in accordance with the guidelines as if the child or children were not living with the obligor.

\* \* \* \* \*

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 14-1485. Filed for public inspection July 18, 2014, 9:00 a.m.]

#### PART I. GENERAL [ 231 PA. CODE CH. 1915 ]

Order Amending Rule 1915.5 of the Rules of Civil Procedure; No. 603 Civil Procedural Rules Doc.

#### Order

Per Curiam

And Now, this 7th day of July, 2014, upon the recommendation of the Domestic Relations Procedural Rules Committee; the proposal having been published for public comment in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*, 43 Pa.Bull. 7544 (December 28, 2013):

It Is Ordered pursuant to Article V, Section 10 of the Constitution of Pennsylvania that Rule 1915.5 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure is amended in the following form.

This *Order* shall be processed in accordance with Pa.R.J.A. No. 103(b), and shall be effective in 30 days on August 6, 2014.

#### Annex A

## TITLE 231. RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE PART I. GENERAL

### CHAPTER 1915. ACTIONS FOR CUSTODY OF MINOR CHILDREN

Rule 1915.5. Question of Jurisdiction [or], Venue or Standing. No Responsive Pleading by Defendant Required. Counterclaim. Discovery.

(a) A party must raise any question of jurisdiction of the person or venue, and may raise any question of standing, by preliminary objection filed within twenty days of service of the pleading to which objection is made or at the time of hearing, whichever first occurs. No other pleading shall be required, but if one is filed it shall not delay the hearing.

Official Note: The court may raise at any time a question of (1) jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or (2) the exercise of its jurisdiction pursuant to § 5426 of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, relating to simultaneous proceedings in other courts, § 5427, relating to inconvenient forum, and § 5428, relating to jurisdiction declined by reason of conduct. The Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, 23 [Pa.C.S. § 5407, provides that, upon request of a party, an action in which a question of the existence or exercise of jurisdiction is raised shall be given calendar priority and handled expeditiously.

 $[Pa.B.\ Doc.\ No.\ 14\text{-}1486.\ Filed\ for\ public\ inspection\ July\ 18,\ 2014,\ 9\text{:}00\ a.m.]$ 

# Title 246—MINOR COURT CIVIL RULES

#### PART I. GENERAL [ 246 PA. CODE CH. 800 ]

Proposed Amendments to Rules 801—816, 818 and 820 and Proposed Rescission of Rule 817 of the Rules of Civil Procedure before Magisterial District Judges

The Minor Court Rules Committee is planning to recommend that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania adopt amendments to Rules 801—803, 805, 807—816, 818 and 820, adopt amendments to the Official Notes of Rules 804 and 806, and rescind Rule 817 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure before Magisterial District Judges ("Rules"). The Committee has not yet submitted this proposal for review by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

The following explanatory Report highlights the Committee's considerations in formulating this proposal. The Committee's Report should not be confused with the Committee's Official Notes to the Rules. The Supreme Court does not adopt the Committee's Official Notes or the contents of the explanatory reports.

The text of the proposed changes precedes the Report. Additions are shown in bold; deletions are in bold and brackets.

We request that interested persons submit written suggestions, comments, or objections concerning this proposal to the Committee through counsel,

> Pamela S. Walker, Counsel Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Minor Court Rules Committee Pennsylvania Judicial Center PO Box 62635 Harrisburg, PA 17106-2635 Fax: 717-231-9546 or email to: minorrules@pacourts.us

no later than September 12, 2014.

By the Minor Court Rules Committee

BRADLEY K. MOSS, Chair

#### Annex A

## TITLE 246. MINOR COURT CIVIL RULES PART I. GENERAL

CHAPTER 800. MINORS AND [ INCOMPETENTS ] INCAPACITATED PERSONS AS PARTIES

Rule 801. Definitions.

As used in this chapter:

(1) Action—Includes a civil action as defined by Rule 301 and an action by a landlord against a tenant for the recovery of possession of real property pursuant to Rule 501

*Official Note*: This chapter applies to all actions, civil in nature, within the jurisdiction of a magisterial district judge.

(2) Minor—An individual under the age of eighteen years.

[Incompetent—Anyone who, because of his incompetency,] (3) Incapacitated person—An adult who has a guardian appointed by a court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to 20 Pa.C.S. § 5501.

- (4) Guardian—Except as otherwise indicated in Rules 808B and 816B, means—
- (a) in the case of a minor, a guardian of the minor appointed by any court of competent jurisdiction or by a probated will, a parent of the minor or, if selected by the minor to represent him as guardian, any adult person.
- (b) in the case of [incompetents] incapacitated persons, a guardian or other fiduciary appointed by a court of competent jurisdiction for the incapacitated person or the incapacitated person's estate [of an incompetent].

Official Note: The definition of "minor" is the same as that set forth in Pa.R.C.P. No. 76 and is in conformity with pertinent statutory provisions. See, for example, [ Act of December 6, 1972, P. L. 1404, No. 300, § 1, 11 P. S. § 1901; ] § 102 of the Probate, Estates and Fiduciaries Code, 20 Pa.C.S. § 102. ["Incompetent"] "Incapacitated person" is defined as one who already has a guardian appointed | because of incompetency | pursuant to 20 Pa.C.S. § 5501, the reason for not adopting the rest of the definition in Pa.R.C.P. No. 2051 being principally that a magisterial district judge should not become involved in appointing guardians ad litem. The definition of "guardian" with respect to a minor is necessarily broad in view of the system adopted in Rule 805. The definition of "guardian" with respect to an [incompetent ] incapacitated person follows generally that found in Pa.R.C.P. No. 2051.

#### Rule 802. Minor May Be Party to Action.

[ Whether or not he is represented by a guardian a minor may be a party to an action ] A minor is not required to be represented by a guardian in an action before a magisterial district judge.

Official Note: Under this rule, a minor need not be represented by a guardian in a civil action before a magisterial district judge. This is a departure from the procedure in other tribunals prescribed by Pa.R.C.P. Nos. 2027 and 2031. This difference in procedure is due in part to the determination that magisterial district judges should not be required or allowed to appoint guardians ad litem, considering the expedition with which civil actions before magisterial district judges are required to be handled under the general rules of civil procedure applicable to magisterial district judges. Since magisterial district judges will not be permitted to appoint guardians ad litem (see Rule 819), it would be manifestly unfair to allow a minor plaintiff to bring suit by a "next friend" guardian but to require the appointment of a guardian by a court of common pleas before a suit could be brought against a minor defendant. It is considered that ample protection will be afforded the minor party under Rule 805. See also the note to Rule 807.

The rules in this chapter are not, of course, intended to change the law governing the basic legal liability of minors.

#### Rule 803. Entitlement of Complaint.

The complaint in an action before a magisterial district judge to which a minor is a party shall be entitled in the name of the minor, without reference to [his] the party's minority or any guardian.

Official Note: The complaint will be entitled in the name of the minor, whether plaintiff or defendant. If a guardian does represent [him] the minor, this will be reflected by a notice of intent to represent attached to [the complaint will be reflected by a notice of intent to represent attached to] the complaint form as required by Rule 805B.

#### Rule 804. Service of the Complaint.

Service of the complaint upon a minor defendant, or of a cross-complaint upon a minor plaintiff, shall be upon the minor in the manner prescribed for service of like process upon an adult party.

Official Note: See [Pa.R.C.P. No. 2029(a)] Rules 308 and 315.

#### Rule 805. Representation of Minor by Guardian.

- A. A guardian may represent a minor party by filing with the magisterial district judge before whom the action is pending a written notice stating [his] the guardian's name, address, entitlement to act as guardian under Rule 801(3)(a) and [that he intends] intention to represent the minor party as guardian. Such a notice shall be filed on a Guardian's Notice of Intent to Represent Minor Party form.
- B. Upon receipt of a notice of intent to act as guardian, the magisterial district judge shall note thereon the time and date of its filing and attach it to the record copy of the complaint form.
- C. Only one guardian may represent the minor party. If more than one person files a notice of intent to act as guardian, precedence shall be given in the order indicated in Rule [801(3)(a)]801(4)(a), and to the one first filing as between those of the same class.

*Official Note:* Subdivision A sets up a system which is intended to preserve expedition in the processing of civil cases before magisterial district judges in which a minor is a party and at the same time afford sufficient protection to the minor. Under this rule, read in connection with the definition of "guardian" in Rule [801(3)(a)] 801(4)(a), guardians appointed by a court or by will and parents can represent the minor with or without [his] the minor's consent, but others are subject to | his | the minor's selection. Subdivision B requires the notice of intent to be attached to the complaint form, and subdivision C provides for an automatic selection as between competing "guardians". Of course, one who has filed a notice of intent to represent may withdraw, in which event the next guardian in precedence, if any, would represent the minor party.

#### Rule 806. Guardian to Supervise Action.

When a minor party is represented by a guardian-

- (1) The guardian shall supervise and control the conduct of the action in behalf of the minor.
- (2) Notices required to be given by the magisterial district judge under Rules 318, 319B and 324 shall, if the party to whom the notice must be given is a minor represented by a guardian, be given to the guardian.

Official Note: Compare Pa.R.C.P. [ Nos. 2027, 2029(b) ] No. 2027.

#### Rule 807. Judgment; Costs.

A. Judgment may be entered for or against the minor party whether or not [ he ] the minor party is represented by a guardian.

B. A judgment entered in the action shall be the obligation of the minor only. A guardian shall not be individually liable for the payment of any judgment entered against the minor or for the costs of the action.

Official Note: Subdivision A of this rule follows the concept adopted in Rule 802. In view of the right of appeal de novo from judgments rendered by magisterial district judges and the protections that are available under these rules, it was felt that such a judgment against a minor party should not be set aside, even as a discretionary matter, on the ground that the minor was not represented by a guardian. Compare Hamilton v. Moore, 335 Pa. 433, 6 A.2d 787 (1939).

As to subdivision B, *compare* Pa.R.C.P. No. 2038. Requiring a minor plaintiff's guardian to pay costs seemed undesirable and unnecessary with respect to civil actions before magisterial district judges.

### Rule 808. Compromise, Settlement, Discontinuance and Payment.

A. If a minor party is represented by a guardian, the guardian may compromise or settle the action on behalf of the minor or may discontinue the action if it was brought by the minor. A minor party not represented by a guardian may compromise or settle the action or may discontinue the action if it was brought by [him] the minor.

B. The amount of a compromise, settlement or judgment in favor of a minor party shall be paid to the guardian of the estate of the minor qualified to receive the fund if [he] the minor party has one or one is to be appointed. If the minor has no such guardian, and none is to be appointed, the amount shall be paid to the guardian of the person or to the natural guardian or to the person or agency by whom the minor is maintained or to the minor.

Official Note: [This rule takes a somewhat different approach than the comparable] Compare Pa.R.C.P. No. 2039. [In view of the relatively small sums involved in money judgments rendered by magisterial district judges, there seems to be little reason for requiring the magisterial district judge to participate and make decisions in these matters.]

Rule 809. [Incompetent] Incapacitated Person May Be Party To Action.

An [incompetent] incapacitated person may be a party to an action before a magisterial district judge if [he] the incapacitated person is represented by [his] a court appointed guardian.

Official Note: Since an ["incompetent"] "incapacitated person" as defined in Rule [801(a) is a person] 801(3) is an adult who already has a guardian appointed [because of incompetency] pursuant to 20 Pa.C.S. 5511, this rule requires that [he] the incapacitated person be represented by [his] a court appointed guardian. See Rule 812A. Under Rule 819, the magisterial district judge cannot appoint a guardian or guardian ad litem.

 $See\ also\ Rules\ 813$  and 815 and the notes to those rules.

#### Rule 810. Entitlement of Complaint.

The complaint in a civil action before a magisterial district judge to which an [incompetent] incapacitated person is a party shall be entitled in the name of the [incompetent] incapacitated person, followed by the phrase "an [incompetent] incapacitated person, represented by A, [his guardian] Guardian." The address of the [incompetent] incapacitated person and that of [his] the guardian shall be shown on the complaint form.

Official Note: Since the rules in this chapter relating to [incompetents] incapacitated persons deal with persons who already have [a guardian because of incompetency] guardians, the complaint is entitled to show that the [incompetent] incapacitated person, whether plaintiff or defendant, is represented by [his] a guardian. Compare Pa.R.C.P. No. 2054.

#### Rule 811. Service of the Complaint.

Service of the complaint upon a defendant who is an [incompetent] incapacitated person, or of a cross-complaint upon a plaintiff who is an [incompetent] incapacitated person, shall be upon [his] the incapacitated person's guardian. This service shall be made in accordance with [Rule 307] Rules 307—308.

*Official Note:* Service is required to be upon the guardian. These rules generally assume the existence of a guardian whose identity is known. *Compare Pa.R.C.P.* No. 421.

Rule 812. Guardian to Represent [ Incompetent ] Incapacitated Person and Supervise Action.

A. The guardian of a party who is an [incompetent] incapacitated person shall represent [him] the incapacitated person and shall supervise and control the conduct of the action [in] on behalf of the [incompetent] incapacitated person.

B. Notices required to be given by the magisterial district judge under Rules 318, 319B and 324 shall, if the party to whom the notice must be given is an [incompetent] incapacitated person, be given to the guardian of the [incompetent] incapacitated person.

Official Note: Compare Pa.R.C.P. Nos. **421**, 2053(a)[, **2055(b)**].

Rule 813. Procedure When [Incompetent Party] Incapacitated Person Not Designated as Such.

A. Except as provided in subdivisions B and C of this rule, if during the pendency of the action the magisterial district judge finds that a party not designated in the complaint as an [incompetent] incapacitated person represented by [his] a guardian is an [incompetent] incapacitated person, the magisterial district judge shall dismiss the proceeding without prejudice. Such a finding shall be based on the fact that the party has a guardian appointed [because of the party's incompetency] by a court of competent jurisdiction pursuant to 20 Pa.C.S. § 5511.

- B. If the party as to whom such a finding is made is one of several plaintiffs or defendants, the proceedings shall be dismissed only as to [ him ] the incapacitated person.
- C. A complaint filed by a party who is an [incompetent] incapacitated person but not designated as such in the complaint may be amended by [his] the incapacitated person's guardian, at any time during the pendency of the action before judgment, to state that the party is an [incompetent] incapacitated person represented by [his] a guardian. A complaint filed against a party who is an [incompetent] incapacitated person but not designated as such may be amended to state that the party is an [incompetent] incapacitated person represented by [his] a guardian only with the written consent of the guardian, which shall be attached to the record copy of the complaint form.

Official Note: With the exceptions stated, subdivision A of this rule requires that the proceedings be dismissed without prejudice when the magisterial district judge finds that a party not designated in the complaint as an [incompetent] incapacitated person represented by [his] a guardian is actually an [incompetent] incapacitated person, that is, one who already has a guardian [because of incompetency (see Rule 801(2))] appointed pursuant to 20 Pa.C.S. § 5511 (see Rule 801(3)). This rule is intended to take care of a situation in which [incompetency] the appointment of a guardian is not disclosed or not known at the time the complaint is filed.

The exception is subdivision B is obvious. The exception in the first sentence of subdivision C contemplates a case in which the [incompetent files his own | incapacitated person files a complaint without disclosing [his incompetency] the appointment of a guardian and this fact comes to light during the pendency of the action. This exception will allow the guardian to make what is in effect a ratifying amendment to the complaint, so that the case can go on [a] to judgment. The exception in the second sentence of subdivision C permits an amendment with the written consent of the guardian in actions brought against undesignated [incompetents] incapacitated persons, the guardian's consent being required because service will not normally have been made upon [him] the guardian under these circumstances and to allow reissuance and new service of the complaint, as amended, would be incompatible with the general civil procedure for magisterial district judges. Neither of the amendments provided for in subdivision C need be made in compliance with Rule 316 or Rule 509.

#### Rule 814. Judgment and Costs.

A judgment entered in the action shall be the obligation of the **[incompetent]** incapacitated person only. A guardian shall not be individually liable for the payment of any judgment entered against the **[incompetent]** incapacitated person or for the costs of the action.

Official Note: See Pa.R.C.P. No. 2063.

Rule 815. Judgment—Unrepresented [Incompetent] Incapacitated Person.

A. Except as provided in subdivision B of this rule, if after judgment the magisterial district judge finds that a party not designated in the complaint as an [incompetent] incapacitated person represented by [his guadian] a guardian was an [incompetent] incapacitated person, the magisterial district judge shall, unless the party's guardian files [his] consent in writing to the judgment, vacate the judgment and dismiss the proceedings without prejudice. Such a finding shall be based on the fact that the party had a guardian appointed [because of the party's incompetency] pursuant to 20 Pa.C.S. § 5511 by a court of competent jurisdiction.

B. A judgment in favor of a defendant shall not be vacated or set aside on the ground that [he] the defendant was an [incompetent] incapacitated person not represented by [his] a guardian.

Official Note: Except as provided in subdivision B, if after judgment the magisterial district judge finds that a party not designated in the complaint as an [incompetent] incapacitated party represented by [his] a guardian was an [incompetent] incapacitated person as defined in Rule [801(2)] 801(3), the magisterial district judge must, unless the party's guardian files [ his ] consent in writing to the judgment, vacate the judgment and dismiss the proceedings without prejudice. If the guardian does file [his] consent to the judgment, it should be attached to the record copy of the complaint form. It was thought best not to give the magisterial district judge the kind of discretion in this matter inherent in Pa.R.C.P. No. 2056(d) and in *Hamilton v*. Moore, 335 Pa. 433, 6 A.2d 787 (1939). Of course, if the [ party as to whom the finding of incompetency is made | incapacitated person was one of several plaintiffs or defendants affected by the judgment, the judgment will be vacated, and the proceedings dismissed, only as to [him] the incapacitated person.

The exception [ is ] in subdivision B forbids vacating or setting aside a judgment in favor of a defendant on the ground that [ he was an incompetent ] a party was an incapacitated person not represented by [ his ] a guardian. The reason for this exception is that the rules as to [ incompetents ] incapacitated persons as parties are for their protection and not for the protection of adverse parties. The word "defendant" as used here includes a plaintiff with respect to a cross-complaint of the defendant but does not include the defendant [ with respect to his ] who files a cross-complaint.

Rule 816. Compromise, Settlement, Discontinuance and Payment.

A. The guardian of a party who is an [incompetent] incapacitated person may compromise or settle the action on behalf of the [incompetent] incapacitated person or may discontinue the action if it was brought by or on behalf of the [incompetent] incapacitated person.

B. The amount of a compromise, settlement or judgment in favor of a party who is an **[incompetent]** 

THE COURTS 4481

incapacitated person shall, if it is known that [he is incompetent] the party is an incapacitated person, be paid to the guardian of [his] the estate qualified to receive the fund if [he] the incapacitated person has one or one is to be appointed. If the [incompetent] incapacitated person has no such guardian and none is to be appointed, the amount shall be paid to the guardian of the person or to the person or agency by whom the [incompetent] incapacitated person is maintained.

Official Note: Compare Pa.R.C.P. No. 2064. See the note to Rule 808.

Rule 817. [ Party Both an Incompetent and a Minor ] (Rescinded).

[ If a party is both an incompetent and a minor, the rules in this chapter relating to incompetents shall apply.

Official Note: See Pa.R.C.P. No. 2052 and its note.]

Rule 817 was rescinded in 20\_ because the rule was no longer necessary due to a change to the statutory definition of an incapacitated person. By definition, an incapacitated person is an adult; thus, Rule 817 is no longer needed. See 20 Pa.C.S. § 5501.

Rule 818. Representation in Rule 420 and 519.1 Matters.

A guardian of a party in interest who is a minor or an **[incompetent]** incapacitated person may represent the minor or **[incompetent]** incapacitated person in hearings held under Rule 420 and Rule 519.1. On behalf of the minor or **[incompetent, he]** incapacitated person, the guardian may make any appeal or file any objection, claim, exception or request mentioned in those rules.

*Official Note*: This rule allows guardians of minors or [incompetents] incapacitated persons, as defined in Rule [801(3)] 801(4), to represent them in Rule 420 and Rule 519.1 matters and matters preliminary thereto.

#### Rule 820. Appellate Proceedings.

A guardian of a party who is a minor or an [incompetent] incapacitated person may initiate in an appropriate court of common pleas an appeal, certiorari proceedings or a statement of objection to Rule 420 and Rule 519.1 orders and determinations.

Official Note: It was thought advisable to include a provision giving guardians of minors and [incompetents] incapacitated persons, as defined in Rule [801(3)] 801(4), the right to initiate appeals, certiorari proceedings and statements of objection to Rule 420 and Rule 519.1 orders and determinations. In doing so, of course, they will have to comply with applicable provisions of the rules governing appellate proceedings. Once the case is in the court of common pleas, however, provisions of the Rules of Civil Procedure relating to guardians ad litem and other procedures will apply.

#### REPORT

Proposed Amendments to Rules 801—803, 805, 807—816, 818 and 820, Amendments to the Official Notes of Rules 804 and 806, and Rescission of Rule 817 of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure before Magisterial District Judges

#### Amendments to Chapter 800 Rules: Minors and Incapacitated Persons as Parties

#### I. Introduction and Background

The Minor Court Rules Committee (the "Committee") is proposing amendments to the Chapter 800 rules of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure before Magisterial District Judges ("Rules"). The Chapter 800 Rules address minors and "incompetents" as parties, and have been largely unchanged since the inception of the Rules.

The Committee received a suggestion that it examine the Chapter 800 Rules, and, specifically, examine the use of the terms "incompetent" and "incompetency," in light of statutory changes that updated the terminology to "incapacitated persons" and "incapacity." In doing so, the Committee noted numerous changes needed to update the Rules, including (1) revising the terminology, statutory citations and references to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, (2) making the Rules gender neutral, and (3) rescinding Rule 817, which is now obsolete due to definition changes in the relevant statutes.

In examining the relevant sections of the Probate, Estates and Fiduciaries Code, see 20 Pa.C.S. § 5501,¹ the Committee determined that the term "incompetent" had long been abandoned in favor of the term "incapacitated person." 20 Pa.C.S. § 5501. This terminology is also reflected in the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. See Pa.R.C.P. No. 2051. According, the Committee proposes updating the Rules to change all references to "incompetent" and "incompetency" to "incapacitated person" and "incapacity," respectively.

In reviewing the Chapter 800 Rules, the Committee also noted the outdated use of gender specific pronouns throughout the Rules (e.g., he, his and him). Thus, in keeping with a preference to make the Rules gender neutral, the Committee proposes updating the Chapter 800 Rules to use gender neutral pronouns, and remove gender specific language.

Finally, in light of the statutory changes referenced above, as well as changes to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, the Committee determined that updates to statutory citations and cross-references to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure were necessary.

#### II. Proposed Rule Changes

The Committee proposes amendments to Rules 801—803, 805, 807—816, 818 and 820 to (1) change the terms "incompetent" and "incompetency" to "incapacitated person" and "incapacity," (2) make the Rules gender neutral, and (3) update statutory references and cross-references to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure. The Committee also proposes amending the Official Notes of Rules 804 and 806 to update cross-references.

Finally, the Committee proposes rescinding Rule 817 because the rule is no longer necessary due to a change to the statutory definition of an incapacitated person. By definition, an incapacitated person is an adult; thus, Rule

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Act of June 30, 1972, P. L. 508, No. 164,  $\S$  2, as amended, Act of April 16, 1992, P. L. 108, No. 24,  $\S$  6.

4482 THE COURTS

817, which addressed the situation where a party was "both an incompetent and a minor," is no longer needed. See 20 Pa.C.S. § 5501.

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 14-1487. Filed for public inspection July 18, 2014, 9:00 a.m.]

# DISCIPLINARY BOARD OF THE SUPREME COURT

#### **Notice of Suspension**

Notice is hereby given that Barry J. Nace having been suspended from the practice of law in the State of West Virginia for a period of 120 days by Mandate of the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia dated October 25, 2013, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania issued an Order on June 26, 2014 suspending Barry J. Nace from the practice of law in this Commonwealth for a period of 120 days, to take effect on July 26, 2014. In accordance with Rule 217(f), Pa.R.D.E., since this formerly admitted attorney resides outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, this notice is published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

ELAINE M. BIXLER,

Secretary The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

 $[Pa.B.\ Doc.\ No.\ 14\text{-}1488.\ Filed\ for\ public\ inspection\ July\ 18,\ 2014,\ 9\text{:}00\ a.m.]$ 

#### **Notice of Suspension**

Notice is hereby given that on July 3, 2014, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania ordered that Daniel

Gregory Simmons be placed on Temporary Suspension from the practice of law pursuant to Rule 214, Pa.R.D.E., to be effective August 2, 2014. In accordance with Rule 217(f), Pa.R.D.E., since this formerly admitted attorney resides outside of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, this notice is published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

ELAINE M. BIXLER, Secretary The Disciplinary Board of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

[Pa.B. Doc. No. 14-1489. Filed for public inspection July 18, 2014, 9:00 a.m.]

#### **Notice of Suspension**

Notice is hereby given that by Order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania dated July 3, 2014, Kenneth A. Martin has been Suspended from the practice of law in this Commonwealth for a period of eighteen months retroactive to August 28, 2013. This suspension is consistent with the Opinion and Order of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals decided March 18, 2013, which Opinion and Order suspended Kenneth A. Martin from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for eighteen months. In accordance with Rule 217(f), Pa.R.D.E., since this formerly admitted attorney resides outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, this notice is published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*.

ELAINE M. BIXLER,
Secretary
The Disciplinary Board of the
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

 $[Pa.B.\ Doc.\ No.\ 14\text{-}1490.\ Filed\ for\ public\ inspection\ July\ 18,\ 2014,\ 9\text{:}00\ a.m.]$